

Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review

Print ISSN: 3006-5887

Online ISSN: 3006-5895

<https://llrjournal.com/index.php/11>

**A Critical Analysis Of Tradition In Shirly Jackson's Short Story
The Loterry**



Muhammad Junaid

BS English (Graduate) Department of English, FATA
University Dara Adam Khel, Kohat

Dr. Akbar Ali (Corresponding Author)

Chairperson/Assistant Professor, Department of English
FATA University, KP, Kohat
Email: akbar@fu.edu.pk

Dr. Muhammad Ali khan

Lecturer, Department of English, Hazara University,
Mansehra Email: roomiee@hotmail.com

Abstract

Tradition is one of the most fundamental and hierarchical components in any human society. Tradition holds the collective memory of a society and acts as the platform on which values, customs, rituals, and beliefs are passed from generation to generation. Tradition gives people an identity, continuity, and sense of belonging through its association of people with their ancestors and tradition. Traditions in various societies and cultures are feted as a binding influence that unites people, builds stronger communal ties, and brings stability in the face of social change. They enable communities to preserve their cultural distinctiveness and guarantee that rituals and practices are not lost with the passage of time.

But tradition is not a purely positive phenomenon. It has both positive and negative aspects. While traditions can reinforce cultural identity and communal togetherness, they may also become stagnant, oppressive, and debilitating when adhered to rigidly without moral considerations. When traditions remain unchallenged or re-examined in the light of reason, ethics, and changing social values, they can turn into instruments of violence and control instead of unity. Traditions, after all, like human beings, must change with time. If they do not change, they become outdated practices that injure instead of benefit subsequent generations. It is here that fiction tends to critically engage with tradition, challenging its influence in molding human behavior and society.

Shirley Jackson, an American author widely known for her disturbing portraits of social existence and human psychology, skillfully examines the darker aspect of tradition through her controversial short story *The Lottery* (1948). At first glance, the story describes the daily activity of a rural town converging for a community event. But as the story goes on, this innocent-looking gathering ends up in the ghastly ritual of stoning one person to death—a ritual that is legitimized merely because it has always been done. The story forces readers to confront the dangers of blind conformity, stifling influence of unreflective traditions, and cruelty that comes when individuals abandon moral responsibility in favor of conforming to others.

This research is concerned with how Jackson characterizes tradition in *The Lottery* and how it influences the belief patterns and actions of the townspeople. It is critical of the naïveté of the people in the community in accepting ritual violence and points out how tradition within the narrative serves to reinforce conformity and oppression instead of being a unifying factor or measure of cultural preservation. The overall purpose is to expose the danger of going along unquestionably with traditional practices, particularly if such practices offend morality, justice, and human compassion.

Shirley Jackson (1916–1965) is still one of the most powerful twentieth-century American authors. She became famous because she had a talent for combining mundane domestic or small-town backgrounds with strange, unnerving occurrences that revealed the more sinister side of human nature. Her fiction frequently involves themes of psychological horror, suspense, and social commentary. Jackson's narrative style is defined by its apparently simple artifice: she creates normal, recognizable situations and then adds elements of the uncanny or the disturbing very slowly, thereby unnerving readers and encouraging examination.

Her short story *The Lottery*, first published in *The New Yorker* in 1948, shocked and repelled readers when it was issued. The story's representation of a quotidian society

Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review

Print ISSN: 3006-5887

Online ISSN: 3006-5895

engaging in ritualistic murder as a part of an annual lottery was perceived both as grotesque and profound. It was one of the most commonly anthologized American short stories and later came to be regarded as a classic denunciation of unthinking tradition-following. Jackson's later novels, such as *The Haunting of Hill House* (1959), a gothic classic, and *We Have Always Lived in the Castle* (1962), continue to show her mastery of combining psychological depth with a mood of horror. Suffering personal struggles as well as backlash throughout her life, Jackson's own image endures, and her writing continues to impact readers and writers across many different genres.

The Lottery is particularly significant because of its universality. The story occurs in a small town in the countryside where citizens go with celebration and recognition. Initially, the scene reads like a familiar community event, but the epiphanic conclusion shows the brutality of their tradition: one citizen is randomly selected by lottery and slaughtered by family, friends, and neighbors. This terrifying twist illustrates the dangers of blind traditionalism, the menace of violence in everyday people, and the dangers of conformity gone too far. With understated characterization, irony, and a slyly calm narrative voice, Jackson shows how unreflective cultural preconceptions can become instruments of oppression and brutality.

Key word: Critical Analysis, Tradition, Shirley Jackson's, Short Story *The Lottery*.

Problem Statement

Tradition is generally assumed to be good in the sense that it reinforces social bonds, maintains cultural identity, and accomplishes continuity with the past. Tradition is seen as a way of handing down wisdom, morality, and rituals that unite a group. Tradition can be a drag, however, if observed blindly. Blind obedience to outdated practices can perpetuate injustice and violence simply because "it has always been done."

In Shirley Jackson's *The Lottery*, this dilemma is starkly illustrated. The townspeople comply with a violent and uncivilized practice—a lottery that ends in the stoning of one member of society annually. Most appalling is that no one questions the practice's legitimacy or legality. Their complacency and unthinking acceptance de-normalize violence and reinforce a barbaric tradition. This story emphasizes the risks of obeying with customs without resisting whether they are just, moral, or essential.

The issue is larger than fiction. People in most real cultures still practice traditions that are perhaps irrelevant, unjust, or detrimental. Rather than bringing communities together, such traditions are utilized to manipulate, exploit, and intimidate. Jackson's tale poses the essential question: What is the result when people cease to think for themselves and merely do things as they have always been done? The tale is a reminder that traditions need to be questioned repeatedly in the light of ethics and human dignity. In an examination of *The Lottery*, we can gain a deeper insight into the value of questioning tradition and learning to differentiate between practices that enhance true communal welfare and those that benefit at the expense of violence and oppression.

Research Questions

How is tradition presented in Shirley Jackson's short story *The Lottery*?

Why does Shirley Jackson stress the impact of tradition on personal and communal action in *The Lottery*?

What role does the setting play in the presentation of tradition in the story?

Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review

Print ISSN: 3006-5887

Online ISSN: 3006-5895

Significance of the Study

This research is important in that it delves into how traditions may influence personal decisions and group actions, especially when individuals do not challenge them. Using Shirley Jackson's *The Lottery*, the research illustrates how some traditions—even as they are harmful—are maintained simply because they have been observed for a long period. The narrative makes readers question whether all traditions are positive or whether some are really more a means of control and oppression.

Literature has always been a mirror to society Jackson's life speaks to actual life issues such as peer pressure, blind obedience, and conflict between personal values and group consensus. This study thus contributes to learning about the way literature can highlight issues in society and prompt critical analysis of the place that traditions occupy in human life.

For academics, researchers, and novel readers, this study is beneficial as it provides a conceptual framework on how novels reflect cultural and ethical issues. It emphasizes that traditions are not to be followed blindly but must be examined in terms of their social and ethical effects.

Study Objectives

To critically evaluate the function of tradition in Shirley Jackson's short story *The Lottery*.

To analyze the way tradition shapes social conduct and sanctions group violence in the story.

To examine the role of the setting in supporting and sustaining tradition within Jackson's fiction

LITERATURE REVIEW

Overview

Jackson's 1948 short story *The Lottery*, which critiques mindless traditionalism, is still a potent allegorical work. Although the story has been analyzed from a number of critical perspectives, including cultural, psychological, and thematic ones, tradition remains the most eerie aspect. The academic literature pertinent to the examination of tradition in Jackson's story is reviewed in this chapter. It summarizes previous studies and shows how various academics have interpreted the customary rituals, social compliance, and sinister undertones of traditionalized violence. The foundation for the theme analysis conducted in this thesis will be laid by the review, which will highlight important claims, ideas, and research gaps.

It has been noted by numerous academics that tradition in *The Lottery* acts as a safeguard against the breakdown of social order. Smith (2020) argues that the lottery ritual is an institutionalized act of violence that society engages in while pretending to be cohesive and orderly. Smith claims that "Jackson exposes how societies construct rules to maintain power hierarchies while presenting them as community values." Tradition is seen through this perspective as an active instrument of oppression rather than just a passive legacy. Tradition is internalized as a norm rather than questioned as a choice, which is consistent with Foucault's idea of disciplinary mechanisms in society. Likewise, tradition in *The Lottery* serves as an unchallenged doctrine that eventually becomes sacred, according to Johnson (2019). "The villagers' blind loyalty embedded within communal culture is underscored by their refusal to question the ritual, even

when they forget its origins," the author says. The black box is interpreted by Johnson as a representation of historical weight—a deteriorating artefact that is nevertheless valued because of its connection to the past. This demonstrates the psychological hold that tradition has over people, restricting their moral discernment in favor of societal conformity.

Jackson's portrayal of collective responsibility as being undermined by tradition is another crucial element that researchers have found. Ahmad and Hussain (2021) investigate the village's collective involvement in Tessie Hutchinson's murder. They claim that "the dispersal of guilt across the community is one of Jackson's central critiques—no one individual feels responsible, yet the outcome is a collectively sanctioned act of violence." This approach highlights how tradition permits collective cruelty and obscures moral issues.

Ahmad and Hussain go on to explain that the peasants' dread of change is the reason the rite is still practiced, despite its pointless beginnings. According to them, "Jackson criticizes the acquiescence of those who value social acceptance over moral responsibility." These readings are helpful in comprehending how Jackson challenges not only a specific custom but the idea of routine social rites that are carried out without moral reflection.

Brown and Miller (2018) analyze how language and objects in *The Lottery* uphold conventional values in their in-depth symbolic reading. They draw attention to the stones, the slips of paper, and the black box as "ritualistic relics" that represent the community's reliance on custom. These symbols are respected even though they have no rational meaning, showing how tradition often survives through symbolism as opposed to intention.

The researchers also analyze how Jackson normalizes the terror using narrative tone and context. Brown and Miller claim that "the ordinary tone of narration and the familiar rural setting lull the reader into a sense of calm, thereby mirroring the villagers' own detachment from the violence they commit." The banality of evil—the idea that atrocities are justified when they become habitualized in custom and routine—is served by this approach.

Several feminist scholars have scrutinized the way women are disproportionately affected by tradition in *The Lottery*. Greene (2020) is of the view that Tessie Hutchinson's destiny explicitly symbolizes the way patriarchal practices often persecute and subdue women in the name of societal equality. In her view, "Tessie's protests are rejected not because she is in error, but because she interferes with a ritual that celebrates social continuity and male supremacy."

Similarly, Jackson's depiction of gender roles in the narrative has been read as a critique of the ways in which gendered hierarchies are maintained by tradition. Collins (2017) claims that women in the narrative are relegated to supporting positions in the ritual, ensuring its continuation without challenging its morality. Jackson's criticism of tradition is linked in this view to more general feminist worries about structural oppression.

Kaplan (2016) agrees, emphasizing that Jackson's characters are the result of their cultural upbringing rather than being innately bad. "Jackson skillfully creates an environment where the community is so ingrained in routine that even the youth participate without resistance," she says. Thus, the narrative poses important queries regarding the nature of evil—not as a human shortcoming but rather as a tradition-based learnt behavior.

Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review

Print ISSN: 3006-5887

Online ISSN: 3006-5895

According to Twayej and Turkie (2019), Jackson depicts a dystopian world that mirrors the atrocities and mindless obedience found in contemporary society. Apparently, in accordance to the authors, "The Lottery" is an allegorical indictment of tradition, repression, and institutionalized violence rather than just a horror tale. "She had an insightful commentary on the cultural traditions showing the reader the dark side of human nature," they wrote, "while Jackson was commenting on the role of women in American society." The narrative emphasizes how customs may be used as a means of control when they are blindly passed down from one generation to the next. Despite its forgotten beginning, the lottery ceremony endures because it is ingrained in the fiber of the community. Children are the seeds of the future and if these children learn violence from an early age, dystopian society is the future, the authors write, pointing out that even young children are heavily brainwashed into this system.

After all, the article presents "The Lottery" as a metaphor of contemporary societal structures in which people forgo morals and reason in favor of group acceptance and safety. Tessie Hutchinson's terrible destiny serves as a reminder that even victims can partake in repressive customs until they are selected. Jackson's narrative, the authors conclude, is "a modern parable which intends to warn people not to let traditions decide what to do and what not to do," highlighting how mindlessly adhering to antiquated practices may convert civilization into a dystopian one.

Although customs are frequently seen as holy or culturally significant, Gould's (2019) essay *Weaponizing Tradition: Threads of Resistance and Oppression* examines how they may also be used to oppress, exclude, or even cause damage. Shirley Jackson's *The Lottery*, in which a brutal ritual is carried out without inquiry because "it's always been done that way," has a strong connection to this dual character of tradition.

As stated by Gould, tradition may be "weaponized" in a variety of ways, both within and between cultures, transforming what ought to be a source of identity into a means of preserving power. This concept is aptly illustrated in *The Lottery*, as the residents of the town commit a heinous crime out of tradition without ever questioning why.

One of Gould's most compelling arguments is that members of a society impose negative customs on one another. The villagers' communal stoning of Tessie Hutchinson is an example of this type of internal pressure. Even when she does speak, her voice is disregarded, just like younger Indigenous leaders are frequently disregarded for attempting to challenge conventional wisdom, according to Gould. Gould also discusses how questioning tradition may be emotionally taxing. Tessie's last-minute complaint demonstrates this struggle: she follows the custom until it starts to work against her.

Gould's advocacy for changing customs using the Indigenous Knowledge (IK) framework—respecting the past while adjusting to the present—is what gives her work its particular significance. Jackson's tale highlights the perils of doing the exact opposite—blindly adhering to tradition at all costs.

Even though a lot of study has been done on *The Lottery*, there are still some important gaps. Notably, not many studies discuss how Jackson emphasizes the continuation of tradition through intergenerational relations. The younger characters' participation in the ritual—whether it is by applauding, gathering stones, or just observing—indicates how customs are perpetuated without challenge, even before the ability to think critically has completely developed. This element might be further explored in future studies.

In addition, although researchers like Greene and Collins provide feminist

Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review

Print ISSN: 3006-5887

Online ISSN: 3006-5895

interpretations, little attention is paid to the ways in which tradition influences other social categories like race and class, which aren't explicitly mentioned in the narrative but can be implied by the way Jackson constructs the village's social structure.

Tradition is frequently identified as a major subject in Shirley Jackson's *The Lottery* by the literature mentioned above, with a focus on how it functions as a tool for social conformity, control, and moral abdication. The risks of ritualistic behavior when left unchecked have been emphasized by scholars including Smith, Johnson, Ahmad and Hussain, and Greene. Jackson uses symbolism, setting, and characterization to attack not only the lottery's particular ceremony but also people's propensity to follow customs without questioning their causes or effects. For the current study, which will employ thematic analysis to further examine how Jackson challenges tradition as a socially acceptable means of repression and violence, this review offers a theoretical and analytical framework

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Overview

This chapter outlines the qualitative, constructivist-informed approach taken to investigate the theme of tradition within Shirley Jackson's *The Lottery*. It explains the application of thematic analysis, informed by Braun and Clarke's six-phase model, to isolate and interpret recurring themes around ritual, conformity, and violence. Data was taken from the primary text and supplemented by secondary scholarly material, with systematic coding and thematic grouping ensuring analytical depth. Ethical concerns—like proper citation, open interpretation, and care for unsettling topics—are highlighted. The chapter also makes note of important limitations, such as the research's limited literary scope, the subjective nature of qualitative analysis, and the lack of empirical audience data. Overall, it presents a clear, coherent, and academically rigorous structure that supports the study's following analysis and findings.

Introduction

The methodology applied to analyze the problem of tradition in Shirley Jackson's short fiction *The Lottery* is explained in this chapter. Methodology is the foundation of every research study, providing a framework for collecting, analyzing, and interpreting data. Focusing on the textual interpretation and thematic analysis, the research is based on a qualitative paradigm. A qualitative approach using thematic analysis is most appropriate as the research centers on a literary text and seeks to uncover the symbolic and cultural meaning hidden in it. Research design, rationale for theme analysis, data gathering and analysis procedures, ethical aspects, and limitations are all addressed in this chapter. The overall aim is to underpin the objectives of this thesis using a method that is comprehensible, logical, and academically valid.

Research Design

This research employs a qualitative, interpretative research style that is well adapted to the needs of literary study. Qualitative research values depth, context, and meaning over quantitative techniques that depend on quantifiable data. It works particularly well to study complex, nuanced subjects that are resistant to easy quantification, such as tradition. The concept of tradition is threaded throughout the social fabric of *The Lottery*'s imagined community and is both a plot device and a critical observation. Qualitative design allows the construction and communication of this theme within the text to be explored in exquisite detail. The constructivist epistemology applied here

assumes that meaning is shaped by social and cultural happenings.

Shirley Jackson's representation of tradition as a dynamic process is an active critique driven by historical, cultural, and psychological influences instead of a passive reflection of social norms. The researcher may consider the text to be a dynamic picture of cultural processes instead of a static artefact by employing the constructivist perspective. Consequently, the methodology of the study is iterative, not linear, and the analysis shifts as more sophisticated understandings are acquired through repeated readings of the text.

Research Method: Thematic Analysis

The main analytical method employed in this research is thematic analysis. Thematic analysis, as Braun and Clarke (2006) explain, is a method of discovering, exploring, and summarizing patterns (themes) in data. It offers a systematic yet flexible approach to analyzing how meaning is constructed in literature. It simplifies the process of uncovering societal ideals and implicit notions that are often hidden beneath the surface of the narrative. The researcher is able to explore how tradition is symbolically presented in *The Lottery* and how it cross-referentially relates with other themes such as violence, conformity, and morality through thematic analysis. The research begins with getting to know the data, meaning reading the short story a few times in order to grasp its tone, shape, and central ideas. This is performed in adherence to Braun and Clarke's six-step model. Developing initial codes—terminology for significant textual elements regarding custom, ritual, or group behavior—is next. These rules are then categorized under broader headings such as "Suppression of Individual Dissent," "Normalization of Violence," and "Blind Obedience to Tradition. "Through careful textual analysis, each subject is honed to make sure it is consistent, logical, and backed up by facts.

Thematic analysis recognizes the researcher's interpretative function, it is particularly appropriate for literary studies. Thematic analysis preserves academic rigor while making room for subjective discoveries, in contrast to more strict approaches. The technique used in this study has made it easier to interact critically with Jackson's story, enabling the researcher to examine not only what is stated but also how and why specific cultural themes regarding tradition are expressed via literature.

Data Collection

Shirley Jackson's 1948 short story *The Lottery*, which first appeared in *The New Yorker*, served as the study's main source of information. There are several versions and compilations of the story, which is considered a classic of American literature. It is the perfect book for a literary theme analysis that focusses on tradition since it has been extensively researched and analyzed. There were no human subjects in the study, and no actual data was collected because it is based on literary interpretation. Rather, the study is predicated on careful reading and interpretative techniques that are frequently used in literary studies.

The researcher also reviewed secondary materials, such as scholarly articles, book chapters, and theoretical essays pertaining to the literary themes of tradition, conformity, and ritual, in order to strengthen the analysis and anchor it in previously published knowledge. Online academic materials like research papers, research articles, Google Scholar, and Google Citations were tapped to retrieve these sources. Careful reading of the brief narrative, highlighting important sections, and flagging elements that

resonated with the thematic focus of the study were all included in the data collection process. Textual citations were noted for incorporation into the analysis chapter, and notes were organized systematically.

The evidence employed is assured to be reliable and closely connected with the issue of study due to this methodology technique. The literary work allows for repeated scrutiny without fear of data variance since it is constant and unchanging. By offering a range of perspectives and theoretical models of interpretation of the source text, the application of academic secondary literature further maximizes the framework of interpretation.

Data Analysis Procedure

The process of data analysis complied with Braun and Clarke's theme analysis paradigm. The initial step was to read several times over until you were familiar with its narrative. This stage was necessary in order to identify more subtly displayed manifestations of cultural and psychological phenomena operating within the narrative, alongside more explicit allusions to convention. Creating initial codes, or conceptual labels for certain words, phrases, and images that were relevant to the study's topic focus, was the second stage. Some sections of the story were coded under such categories as "ritualistic violence," "participation of the community," "fear of change," and "social silence." Then these codes were analyzed and grouped on the basis of themes.

For instance, the subject "Blind Obedience to Tradition" was employed to categorize allusions to the lottery's presumed continuity, while the theme "Violence as Social Order" was employed to analysis representations of group violence. Examination of the absence of direct opposition by the peasants and the quietly expressed discomfort by some persons gave rise to another topic, "Resistance and Complicity." By re-reading the original text, these themes were refined to ensure that they were consistent with one another and supported by sufficient evidence.

Early interpretations were verified, revised, and placed in context as part of a continuous cycle. To add to its richness and validity, the study also referenced relevant literary theory and scholarly debate. Ultimately, this analytical process enabled one to interpret the novel's account of tradition in multiple senses, illustrating how Jackson subverts its role in maintaining conformity, suppressing dissent, and rationalizing violence.

ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

Overview

This study offers an in-depth thematic analysis of Shirley Jackson's *The Lottery*, as it relates to the theme of tradition and its power over human behavior. The analysis uncovers how Jackson employs the lottery ritual as a metaphor for blind observance of traditions, demonstrating the risks of unthinking obedience and the continuation of obsolete customs. By close reading, the chapter points out how the collective involvement of the people in the ritual high-light's conformity, social pressure, and the silencing of personal morality. The reading also points out how the combination of setting, symbol, and characterization serves to reinforce the narrative's deconstruction of tradition. Finally, the findings show that Jackson's narrative is an evocative critique of man's propensity to maintain vicious traditions merely because they constitute a part of historical continuity.

Introduction

This chapter presents a detailed thematic analysis of Shirley Jackson's short story *The Lottery*, published in 1948, focusing on the manner in which tradition is represented, maintained, and challenged within the narrative. Thematic analysis is applied in this section to examine the textual elements that reveal more about the role of tradition within the fictional world of Jackson's representation, upon the theoretical and methodological context established in the previous chapters. Although it seems so easy, the tale is full of cultural and symbolic implications that make it point out the dangers of automatically following time-honored customs.

This chapter objective is to identify, categorize, and examine themes emerging from the text data and related to the key idea of tradition because it makes it possible to systematically study patterns, repetitions, and symbols in the narrative, thematic analysis has been chosen as the most suitable method for this research. The procedure entailed getting to know the text, creating preliminary codes, looking for recurrent themes, evaluating them, and finally identifying and labelling the final themes for analysis in line with Braun and Clarke's (2006) thematic analysis approach. Using this approach, a number of recurring themes that reflect various facets of tradition in *The Lottery* have been found. Symbolism of ritual objects, inherited practices and blind obedience, tradition as a normalized social ritual, passive opposition to change, and the roles played by the community in upholding tradition are some of these. Every one of these topics will be thoroughly examined, backed up by explicit textual evidence, and examined in light of the main research issue.

This chapter's ultimate goal is to expose Jackson's use of tradition—maintained through routine, silence, and group participation—not as a positive cultural legacy but rather as a potentially harmful force. The examination will show how tradition can serve as a platform for violence and moral degeneration when it is devoid of significance and ignored.

Thematic Analysis Procedure

The method of theme analysis, which was selected for its flexibility and capacity to identify and interpret significant patterns of meaning within a text, is the basis for the study in this chapter. "A method for identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns (themes) within data" is how Braun and Clarke (2006) describe thematic analysis. It is interested in examining how meanings are constructed and transferred in a social or cultural context as opposed to merely tallying words or phrases. This method is particularly suited for literary analysis because it permits the close reading of texts to uncover buried ideologies, values, and concepts.

The thematic analysis for the current research used Braun and Clarke's six-step approach: (1) familiarization with the data, (2) generating initial codes, (3) searching for themes, (4) reviewing themes, (5) defining and naming themes, and (6) producing the final report. To ensure a complete reading of the plot, character actions, and symbolic references, the process began with multiple close readings of Shirley Jackson's *The Lottery*. Key words, phrases, and sections regarding the term tradition were highlighted and marked in this step.

The second step was then to construct initial codes from repeated themes or important units of narrative that illustrated the influence or presence of tradition. Phrases like "the lottery was conducted," "black box," "stones," "old man Warner," and "every year" were among these codes. These recurring textual components alluded to important facets of

tradition, including authority, inherited custom, ritual, and generational continuity. Following coding, related codes were combined to create more comprehensive themes. Based on the degree of tradition they represented, these themes were examined, honed, and given names. Symbolism of ritual objects, resistance and silence around change, inherited practices and blind obedience, tradition as a normalized social ritual, and the role of gender and community in sustaining tradition were the key issues that came to light. Every subject illustrates a distinct facet of how tradition operates in the made-up hamlet and how it supports the maintenance of an antiquated and cruel custom. The material is not only descriptively categorized but also critically interpreted in light of the research goal—to examine the perils of unexamined tradition in Jackson's story—thanks to this methodical and thorough application of thematic analysis.

Tradition

In Shirley Jackson's 1948 short story *The Lottery*, tradition serves as the fundamental mechanism that upholds a terrifying social custom. The story criticizes how traditions can deprive people of morality and personal accountability once they are institutionalized. Jackson illustrates how tradition, if unquestioned, can sustain violence under the pretence of cultural continuity through the village's surroundings, artefacts, and behavior.

Jackson presents the lottery as an ingrained societal custom from the outset. The tone is deceptively calm from the first line, "The morning of June 27th was clear and sunny, with the fresh warmth of a full-summer day" (Jackson, 1948, p. 1). The degree to which the custom is ingrained in the villagers' seasonal rhythm is highlighted by its everyday regularity. Without hesitation, the locals gather, demonstrating how playing the lottery has become a commonplace aspect of daily life. According to Jackson, "Mr. Summers, who had time and energy to devote to civic activities, conducted the lottery—as were the square dances, the teen club, and the Halloween program" (Jackson, 1948, p. 1). Jackson shows how terrible traditions can pass for pleasant ones by tying the lottery to harmless communal gatherings.

The black wooden box represents the deteriorating yet enduring nature of tradition; it is described as "shabby, faded," and "splintered badly along one side" (Jackson, 1948, p. 2). The locals are aware of its degradation, yet they are unwilling to replace it. "Nobody liked to upset even as much tradition as was represented by the black box," Jackson observes (p. 2). The community's unquestioning devotion to tradition, whatever its harshness or absurdity, is demonstrated by their resistance to alter even the most basic aspects of the ceremony. Old Man Warner is a perfect example of this way of thinking. He laughs when he learns that neighboring communities have stopped holding the lottery, saying, "There's always been a lottery" and, "Nothing but trouble in that... Pack of young fools" (Jackson, 1948, p. 4). His response serves as an example of how tradition can be exploited as a shield against critical thinking, justifying antiquated methods just because they have historical precedent. Worst of all, ritual murder, the tradition's result, is accepted without opposition. Like everyone else, even Tessie Hutchinson, who only objects when she is the victim, was willing to take part. This mindless obedience implies that custom can stifle personal ethics once it is institutionalized.

Jackson presents tradition in a critical rather than a celebratory manner. She reveals how cultural customs can be used to excuse the unacceptable by enshrouding violence in ritual. *The Lottery* turns into a cautionary tale about the perils of blindly adhering to

tradition, challenging cultures to consider if traditions are justifiable or just repetitive.

Tradition and Blind Obedience

In Shirley Jackson's 1948 novel *The Lottery*, tradition is a force of repression, brutality, and blind obedience rather than a source of cultural diversity or historical continuity. The narrative examines how, if left unquestioned, ingrained traditions can normalize cruelty in the name of social cohesion. The story's storyline and Jackson's underlying indictment of society's devotion to rituals devoid of moral consideration are both heavily reliant on the issue of tradition and blind obedience. This theme serves as a prism through which to view the villagers' complicity, the symbolic machinery of ritual, and the elimination of individuality in favor of communal custom within the framework of this research project, which explores how Jackson challenges tradition.

The black wooden box, which has been used in the lottery "even before Old Man Warner was born," is arguably the story's most powerful representation of blind tradition (Jackson, 1948, p. 2). Due to its symbolic significance rather than its practicality, the villagers refuse to replace the box despite its obvious decay: "no one liked to upset even as much tradition as was represented by the black box" (Jackson, 1948, p. 2). This reluctance to modernize or question even the most flimsy part of the tradition is indicative of a stagnant cultural worldview. Without reason or empathy, the box turns into a symbol of ritual for ritual's sake.

This criticism is consistent with anthropological ritual theory, which holds that rituals are only important when they fulfil moral or group objectives rather than being sacred in and of themselves (Turner, 1969). But in *The Lottery*, tradition has outlived its usefulness and is now only surviving due to historical inertia. The peasants' devotion for the box, which represents their dread of change, turns into a kind of cultural captivity. The Old Man Warner personality serves as a spokesperson for conservative traditionalism in the story. Without any critical scrutiny, his unwavering assertion that "There's always been a lottery" (Jackson, 1948, p. 4) is presented as both rationale and defense. Warner's opinions reflect a generational transmission of obedience in which repetition and age are considered for knowledge and veracity. His criticism of the neighboring towns who have given up the lottery, referring to them as a "pack of young fools" (p. 4), reveals a resistance to the decline in authority that tradition affords rather than to the cessation of violence.

From a theoretical standpoint, Warner represents what Michel Foucault (1977) refers to as disciplinary authority, which is a type of control that is imposed by internalized thought rather than by physical force. His persona demonstrates how people can be used as tools of oppression simply by perpetuating historical values.

One of the most troubling features of *The Lottery* is how the entire town engages in the act of violence without guilt. Even youngsters are shown gathering stones and preparing for the stoning in advance: "Bobby Martin had already stuffed his pockets full of stones" (Jackson, 1948, p. 1). This early image establishes the tone for how tradition is normalized at a young age, resulting in a system that discourages moral questioning. Instead than being carried out by a single authority person, friends, neighbors, and family members all participate in the act of stoning. As stated by Helen Nebeker in 1974, "The horror of the story lies in the participation of everyone—it is not tyranny but complicity that ensures the continuation of the ritual." Individual shame is decreased by the ritual's dissemination of responsibility among the community.

Only after being chosen as the victim does Tessie Hutchinson become the only voice of

opposition. Her protest highlights the tardiness of her resistance, saying, "It isn't fair, it isn't right" (Jackson, 1948, p. 8). Like everyone else, Tessie maintains the process until she is directly impacted. This selective protest exemplifies what Erich Fromm (1941) refers to as "authoritarian conscience," in which individuals absorb laws from outside sources and only defy them when doing so will endanger their own lives. The lottery's phoney democratic procedure is further shown by Tessie's position. Even though everyone draws evenly, the result is not really fair because the social framework is set up to stifle honest criticism. Tessie's family also participates in the stoning, demonstrating the horrifying extent of cultural conformity.

Shirley Jackson cleverly exposes in *The Lottery* how tradition, especially when defended unquestionably, can be a veil for brutality. The peasants' blind obedience to the ritual is based on fear of disturbing social norms rather than their faith or necessity. Characters such as Old Man Warner, symbols such as the black box, and the passive violence of the community all combine to create a portrait of a society trapped in an evil cycle of generations. The thesis's broader argument that unexamined tradition crushes moral autonomy and allows for institutionalized cruelty turns on this point. Jackson's story is a classic cautionary tale of the dangers of blind conformity and the need to morally reconsider our inherited traditions.

Violence and Sacrifice in Society

Violence in Shirley Jackson's *The Lottery* is portrayed as a normed, social rite embedded within society itself instead of as a lone or deviant act. The theme of violence and sacrifice within society and the critique of tradition in the story converge well, revealing how cruelty masquerades as religious sacrifice, civic responsibility, or community bonding. Stoning someone to death is not merely acceptable but also normal, accepted, and part of the social calendar in the world of this narrative. Jackson's portrayal of violence is chillingly ordinary rather than dramatic, highlighting how contemporary societies frequently hide and institutionalize suffering. For the sake of this research project, this subject provides critical insight into how the short tale analyses inherited violence disguised as required sacrifice. The lottery in Jackson's story is a ceremonial practice rather than merely a game of chance. A vicious public stoning occurs at the end of the act, despite the villagers' casual references to it ("I guess we better get started, get this over with, so we can go back to work" [Jackson, 1948, p. 3]). Jackson purposefully juxtaposes the normalcy of the scene—a bright June morning with kids playing—with the horror of the conclusion, making the reader face the brutality that lurks in supposedly tranquil civilizations.

One important stage in permitting this abuse to happen is the victim's dehumanization. No one laments, defends, or even feels sorry for Tessie Hutchinson. She is objectified as soon as she is selected. In order to participate in his mother's execution, even her little son, little Davy, is handed pebbles: "Someone gave little Davy Hutchinson few pebbles" (Jackson, 1948, p. 8). This demonstrates how ingrained this act of violence is in the villagers' culture—it is taught, tolerated, and practiced for generations.

René Girard's sacrifice theory states that communities frequently uphold order by selecting a scapegoat whose passing momentarily brings peace back (Girard, 1977). This paradigm is excellently dramatized in *The Lottery*. Tessie Hutchinson's sacrifice brings the villagers together in a violent deed that reinforces their common values. In the aftermath, there is simply the quiet of acceptance rather than mayhem.

The violence in *The Lottery* is particularly horrifying because it is institutionalized,

Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review

Print ISSN: 3006-5887

Online ISSN: 3006-5895

ritualized, and ethically sanitized rather than the product of anger, retaliation, or personal strife. The villagers are employees of a system they have never challenged; they are not naturally aggressive individuals. According to Jackson (1948, p. 1), Mr. Summers, the lottery's conductor, is a "jovial man" who also plans the "square dances, the teen club, the Halloween program." His dual function as an executioner and a community leader highlights how the lottery has become more accepted.

Zygmunt Bauman's idea of "adiaphorization"—the moral detachment from one's acts in contemporary bureaucracies—is pertinent in this context from a theoretical standpoint. Bauman (1989) describes how contemporary civilizations might perpetrate horrors by depriving people of moral accountability and concealing violence inside social structures in Modernity and the Holocaust. Tessie's death is not attributed to a single person in Jackson's village. The fault is with the system itself, but it works because no one declines to take part.

Jackson's use of children in the lottery is among his most unsettling narrative techniques. "Bobby Martin had already stuffed his pockets full of stones, and the other boys soon followed his example" (Jackson, 1948, p. 1) is how the story begins, illustrating how children gather stones. The stones, which represent crude implements and antiquated methods of discipline, also turn into toys that are handled carelessly, amusingly, and without understanding their actual function.

Jackson emphasizes how cultural violence is spread through innocence by involving children in the violence. The children are dutiful successors to the ritual, not rebels. Any element of culture—religion, custom, or ideology—that supports or legitimizes direct violence turns becomes a means of causing harm, as sociologist Johan Galtung (1990) describes in his theory of cultural violence. Because violence has been reframed as a cultural necessity, it is no longer seen as morally objectionable in *The Lottery*.

The lack of a compelling explanation for the sacrifice is another factor that sets *The Lottery* apart as a critique of societal violence. The proverb "Lottery in June, corn be heavy soon" (Jackson, 1948, p. 4) is mentioned in passing, however not everyone agrees with it. Some villages have completely abandoned the custom. However, the act of sacrifice continues in the story's unnamed community despite the lack of political, agricultural, or theological justification. Because it is a self-sustaining act with no end other than its own repetition, the violence is all the more ridiculous and horrifying.

This is consistent with Albert Camus's theory of the ridiculous, which holds that individuals frequently look for purpose in pointless suffering and, in doing so, feed oppressive and violent cycles (Camus, 1942). The villagers keep holding the lottery out of fear of upsetting tradition more than because it fulfils a function. Violence turns into a habit—a sinister solace based on a common misconception. *The Lottery* by Shirley Jackson is a potent critique of how violence can be ingrained in social mores and customs under the guise of civic obligation or group necessity. By illustrating a culture that unconditionally victimizes one of its own every year, Jackson challenges readers to consider the possibility that ordinary people can become brutal instruments when custom deems it acceptable. The novel's illustration of ritualized, intergenerational, and public violence is a dire warning that, absent external control, rituals can be instruments of subjugation and not of unity.

This topic upholds the overall argument in this thesis, that tradition is most damaging when it is used to justify violence. In *The Lottery*, sacrifice serves only to preserve a violent heritage disguising itself as order; it does not create atonement or renewal.

Collective Conformity vs Individual Dissent

Shirley Jackson makes a very chilling illustration in *The Lottery* of how systematic violence can be enabled through communal conformity that prevails over personal ethical judgment. This motif—personal dissent vs communal conformity—is central to the story's attack on social organization. Conformity is more than a village cultural tradition; it is a survival strategy, a power so profound that it overcomes resistance and insists upon compliance even when the group is acting unethically. Common ordinary people, bound together by shared tradition and by fear of being alone, ritually stone an innocent human being rather than monsters. This essay looks at how Jackson illustrates the conflict between personal morality and social pressure, as well as how injustice is made possible by quiet or postponed opposition.

Collective pressure governs Jackson's hamlet, where challenging tradition is not only frowned upon but also viewed as socially hazardous. The locals accept their lottery duties passively rather than with obvious joy. Tessie Hutchinson objects to her selection, but her protests are disregarded and even made fun of: “‘Be a good sport, Tessie,’ Mrs. Delacroix called, and Mrs. Graves said, ‘All of us took the same chance’” (Jackson, 1948, p. 8). This reaction demonstrates how the illusion of justice is maintained while communal unity is used as a weapon against opposition.

This exemplifies groupthink, a psychological phenomena wherein the drive for group conformity leads to dysfunctional or irrational decision-making (Janis, 1972). Although the villagers aren't shown to be inherently cruel or sadistic, their unwavering devotion to the group dynamic causes them to lose sight of morality. Upholding societal cohesiveness takes precedence over confronting injustice, even when lives are on the line.

The only dissident voice in the narrative is Tessie Hutchinson, but her opposition is tardy and ultimately unsuccessful. At first, they were happy and making jokes about the lottery (“Joe, wouldn't you have me leave my dishes in the washbasin now?” [Jackson, 1948, p. 3]), and Tessie doesn't start to object until her family is chosen. “You didn't give him enough time to take any paper he wanted,” she insists. I noticed you. It wasn't just! (Page 6, Jackson, 1948). Instead of pity, there is a shared resolve to move forward in response to her protest.

This emphasizes how dissent has a societal cost. The village's unwritten rule that anyone who questions the rite must be silenced is reinforced by Tessie's destiny, which makes her both the chosen victim and a symbol of resistance. Tessie effectively receives two punishments: one for daring to speak up and the other for chance.

Jackson's use of Tessie as a scapegoat illustrates how social exclusion works, marginalizing or destroying individual opposition to maintain the group's identity. The peasants “protect themselves not from evil, but from change; they sacrifice the individual to preserve their structure of belief,” according to literary critic Helen Nebeker (1974).

The fact that even Tessie's family participates in her death is arguably the most unsettling aspect of Jackson's criticism of conformity. Her husband simply says, “Shut up, Tessie,” without offering any defense, while her children accompany her in making mistakes (Jackson, 1948, p. 6). He takes the slip out of her hand and shows it to the audience when Tessie pulls out the marked paper. This instance demonstrates the pervasiveness of conformity, which undermines moral allegiance and familial ties in favor of group maintenance. *The Lottery* doesn't have a hero. No one protests with Tessie, no one remembers a time before the ritual started, and no one declines to take

part. The group's authority is so total that it suspends even the most fundamental human empathy. Primo Levi, a Holocaust scholar, referred to this silence as the "grey zone" of moral compromise. It illustrates how cultures establish and maintain oppressive institutions by passive compliance as well as through acts of violence.

Shirley Jackson paints a vivid but depressing picture of a society in which individual conscience is subordinated to social conformity in *The Lottery*. Jackson criticizes people's propensity to follow societal norms even when they call for brutality through Tessie Hutchinson's character and the peasants' tacit cooperation. The villagers act out of habit, fear, and the ease of belonging to the community rather than out of hatred.

This subject supports the broader claim of the thesis that tradition turns into an instrument to sustain injustice once it gets mixed up with conformity.

Jackson's story is a cautionary one that true moral courage lies in being courageous enough to do what is right despite it costing you your all.

Resistance vs Submission

The conflict between resistance and submission is intricately woven throughout Shirley Jackson's *The Lottery*, gently expressed through every day, quiet, and protesting moments. The manner in which individuals conform to or resist social norms is questioned in this theme, particularly when such norms demand sadistic or inhumane action. Jackson makes concise but effective moments of protest and hesitation that bring out the inner struggle between moral resistance and automatic conformity, although surrender dominates the collective action of the village. This topic brings out the social and psychological processes which engender compliance and suppress rebellion in the context of this thesis which discusses Jackson's criticism of tradition. The peasants accept the lottery throughout the narrative without protest or hesitation. Years of unchallenged engagement have formed their ritualized and automatic behavior. As if fulfilling a civic obligation, the villagers form lines and draw papers in response to Mr. Summers's casually authoritative pronouncement, "Well, now... guess we better get started, get this over with" (Jackson, 1948, p. 3). No protest, no open discussion, no appeal to reason or empathy. Because of a culture that views disobedience as unthinkable, this compliance has become normalized.

Such conduct exemplifies the sociological idea of habitual obedience, according to which people follow the law out of habit and social pressure rather than because they believe in it. According to Ian Hacking (1999), social activities are frequently accepted because they fit into a "moral ontology of the everyday"—a world in which there are no obvious alternatives—rather than because they are morally right. The Lottery's residents consider the ritual to be unchangeable and unquestionable; it just is.

The sole character who opposes the procedure, albeit too late, is Tessie Hutchinson. She objects right away when her family is selected in the first draw, saying, "You didn't give him enough time to take any paper he wanted." I noticed you. It wasn't just! (Page 6, Jackson, 1948). She exclaims once more, "It isn't fair, it isn't right," when she later pulls the marked paper (p. 8). These last appeals are important because they end the ritual's surrounding silence. Tessie only objects when she is the victim, demonstrating that her opposition is reflexive rather than moral. Her voice is important in spite of this. Tessie's remarks cast doubt on the ritual's validity and let the reader realize how fundamentally unfair it is. Tessie's resistance "forces a moment of moral awareness that the villagers work hard to suppress," as literary critic Fritz Oehlschlaeger (1988) observes. Even while the protest doesn't alter the result, it does show that opposition,

Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review

Print ISSN: 3006-5887

Online ISSN: 3006-5895

albeit stifled, does exist inside the ritualized framework and that surrender is not universal.

The subservience of the villagers is actively enforced rather than just passive. Bill, Tessie's husband, abruptly stops her from protesting, saying, "Shut up, Tessie" (Jackson, 1948, p. 6). This instance perfectly captures how social systems stifle dissent through interpersonal pressure in addition to tradition. To communal obedience, even familial bonds are subservient. In a show of complete collective cooperation, they carry out the stoning without anyone joining Tessie in protest.

The way that societies stifle resistance through embarrassment, seclusion, and fear of being left out is reflected in this communal enforcement. In Eichmann in Jerusalem, Hannah Arendt (1963) makes the case that "the banality of evil" arises when people cease exercising critical thought and merely obey the law, even when it results in violence. The lack of organized opposition in *The Lottery* demonstrates how thoroughly compliance has been ingrained.

Jackson deftly examines the conflict between resistance and capitulation in *The Lottery*. Even though it was ultimately ineffective, Tessie Hutchinson's one protest represents a brittle act of defiance in a culture that penalizes those who cross its lines. The ways through which violence is carried over through the generations are depicted in the ready compliance of the villagers, enforced by societal norms, silence, and even family betrayal. The theme supports the thesis's primary argument, that moral choice is removed when conformity and tradition are coupled. Jackson's story is a cautionary tale about the dangers of what happens when societies suppress dissidence in the interest of securing order, as well as the horrific consequences that result from moral responsibility being replaced with group conformance.

The Illusion of Civility

Shirley Jackson creates a terrifying indictment of how politeness and civility can be a front for underlying cruelty in *The Lottery*. The idea of the illusion of politeness draws attention to the disparity between the villagers' kind, well-behaved conduct and the terrible result of their rite. Jackson depicts a civilization that is controlled by harshness, subjugation, and violence on the inside yet seems tranquil and even wholesome on the outside. Tessie Hutchinson is stoned by ostensibly honorable neighbors, acquaintances, and even family members rather than barbarians. This theme sheds light on how ritualized violence can pass for ordinary and how social politeness, language, and tone can mask the moral ramifications of tradition within the larger framework of this thesis. "The morning of June 27th was clear and sunny, with the fresh warmth of a full-summer day; the flowers were blossoming profusely and the grass was richly green" (Jackson, 1948, p. 1) is how the story begins, describing a perfect summer day. This idyllic scene arouses feelings of coziness and peace, raising the prospect of a delightful gathering. Every detail reflects typical village life: men talk casually about planting and taxes, women gossip, and children play. This everyday reality, however, is a purposeful diversion. Jackson mimics how the villagers themselves have become accustomed to the atrocities they commit by using the pastoral backdrop to lull the reader into complacency. The final act's shock is heightened by the serene, everyday setting, demonstrating that civility and savagery are not mutually contradictory.

This technique reflects what critic Stanley Edgar Hyman (1951) calls Jackson's "ability to chill the spine with words that sound like home." Her manipulation of tone and

Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review

Print ISSN: 3006-5887

Online ISSN: 3006-5895

setting uncovers the sinister possibilities hidden within the fabric of normal social life. Civility becomes a mask, a ritualized performance that distracts from the horror beneath. During the lottery, the locals' language is remarkably polite and unremarkable. There are happy murmurs when lottery organizer Mr. Summers shows in grinning and says, "Little late today, folks." "Well, now... guess we better get started, get this over with" (Jackson, 1948, pp. 2–3) is his tone, which is light even as the ritual starts. There is only serene efficiency, no sense of dread, urgency, or emotional upheaval.

This example of how euphemism and social ritual can be used to sanitize brutality is demonstrated by the use of courteous, subdued words to describe an extremely violent occurrence. "The use of conventional manners masks the moral vacuity of the characters' actions," as literary critic Shirley Foster (1997) notes. In this situation, civility serves as a means of distancing the people from their own brutality rather than as a sign of humanity.

Additionally, Tessie Hutchinson takes part in this performance personally. "Wouldn't you, Joe, have me leave my dishes in the washbasin, now?" she quips when she arrives late. (Jackson, 1948, p. 3), making the audience laugh. Even the victim did not first recognize the seriousness of the incident, highlighting how ingrained the sense of normalcy is.

The story's finale is arguably when the façade of politeness is most disturbingly destroyed. "It isn't fair, it isn't right!" Tessie protests. Nobody stands up for her. (Jackson, 1948, p. 8). Rather, the execution is gently started by her neighbors and friends. After having a cordial conversation with Tessie earlier, Mrs. Delacroix chooses "a stone so large she had to pick it up with both hands" (p. 8). The perpetrators of the assault are the same people who once laughed and smiled at her.

The moral deceit of civility separated from moral behavior is exposed in this instance. Jackson depicts a society in which politeness serves as a means of upholding appearances rather than as a means of encouraging moral contemplation, and social decorum takes the place of compassion. It is a potent critique of how contemporary societies frequently put appearances before truth and order before fairness.

Shirley Jackson challenges the idea that moral integrity is synonymous with civilized behavior by carefully contrasting institutionalized violence with social graces. Despite committing cold-blooded murder, the villagers in *The Lottery* are able to preserve a feeling of unity, order, and tradition because to the appearance of civility. Their politeness shields the offenders from facing their own brutality, not the victim.

This topic supports the thesis's main contention, which is that civility becomes a cover for systemic violence when tradition is maintained without consideration for its ethical implications. Readers are prompted by Jackson's account to consider the ways in which violence can go undetected and to consider what lies beneath polite society.

Ritual and Meaninglessness

Ritual is devoid of its spiritual roots and shown as a meaningless activity in Shirley Jackson's *The Lottery*, performed robotically without moral consideration, context, or reasoning. The concept of ritual and meaninglessness examines how cultural customs that have their roots in religion or purpose can endure for a very long time after their original significance has faded. Although the lottery is held in Jackson's made-up village with considerable formality, nobody can recall its origins or its intended purpose. Its tenacity stems from a fear of change rather than belief. This research looks at how Jackson criticizes rituals that are performed in vain, particularly those that are upheld

Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review

Print ISSN: 3006-5887

Online ISSN: 3006-5895

purely because of tradition. This subject deepens the thesis's larger contention that tradition, when unthinking, turns into a tool for violence rather than virtue.

The peasants are portrayed by Jackson as the keepers of a long-lost rite. Even the "black box now resting on the stool had been put into use even before Old Man Warner was born," according to the narrator, and "the original paraphernalia for the lottery had been lost long ago" (Jackson, 1948, p. 2). The villagers reject Mr. Summers's infrequent suggestions to construct a new box out of habit rather than respect for its significance. Their actions show inertia rather than devotion. This is consistent with the notion of ritual structure put forward by Victor Turner (1969), which holds that ritual becomes harmful when it ceases to be transformational or symbolic and instead becomes repetitious. The villagers' ritual has evolved into an unquestioning tool of violence rather than a meaningful display of collective identity.

The lottery's processes are executed with meticulous bureaucracy. There are procedures for drawing names, pieces of paper to prepare, and lists to make. Even though previous symbolic aspects, such as chants or salutes, have been lost, these behaviors are meticulously maintained: "Because so much of the ritual had been forgotten or discarded..." (Jackson, 1948, p. 2). Held together by hazy recollection and aversion to change, the ritual has devolved into a meaningless show.

According to academic Peter Kosenko (1985), *The Lottery* challenges the ideological role of ritual in capitalist society, where people continue to engage in oppressive behaviors just because they have been accepted. According to him, "The villagers' adherence to ritual demonstrates how ideological control is upheld through repetition rather than reason." Jackson thus demonstrates the institutionalization of meaninglessness, particularly in situations where societies are unwilling to face their past.

The ritual's ability to strengthen social cohesion despite its lack of significance is one of the reasons it persists. Every year, the villagers gather in the square, go through the same rituals, and then resume their regular lives. The lottery becomes into a social rite rather than a moral one. There is a mechanical sense of responsibility as Tessie's death draws near, but no spiritual reckoning. Kids even participate.

According to anthropologist Mary Douglas (1966), rituals are frequently used to uphold social rather than spiritual boundaries. In *Purity and Danger*, she makes the case that ritual's efficacy frequently stems from its capacity to uphold group identity and hierarchy rather than its religious significance. Similar to this, Jackson's villagers are more focused on maintaining the ritual's structure than on comprehending its purpose. The misery of traditions that have lost their meaning is shown by Tessie Hutchinson's destiny. Her last statement, "It isn't fair, it isn't right" (Jackson, 1948, p. 8), makes it clear that the lottery no longer even makes an effort to maintain fairness or equilibrium. The village does not receive any blessings, rewards, or catharsis. The sole result is death without thought. Tessie ends up being sacrificed for tradition rather than for a greater good. The ritual's continued use in spite of its futility begs the unsettling question: How many actual customs exist merely because they haven't been questioned? Jackson purposefully avoids answering this query in order to compel the reader to consider the obsolete customs in their own cultures.

Shirley Jackson criticizes in *The Lottery* how rituals can endure for a very long time after they have lost their significance and turn into harmful systems of cruelty and control. Despite not knowing the ritual's history or purpose, the villagers' unwillingness to challenge it illustrates how cultures can engage in morally repugnant behavior under

the pretext of "keeping things the way they've always been. This subject substantiates the broader argument of the thesis that mindless tradition leads to unwarranted violence. Jackson warns that ritual becomes desecrated and is evil as soon as it's separated from moral or symbolic meaning.

Dehumanization and Desensitization

The dehumanization and desensitization process is key to understanding why ordinary individuals come to commit acts of horrendous cruelty in Shirley Jackson's *The Lottery*. In the book, individuals, including children, are involved in a brutal public execution with no hesitations or remorse in what seems like a typical town. This general acceptance of cruelty reveals how societal institutions based on tradition and obedience undermine moral consciousness and compassion. Rather than being portrayed as evil or violent, the villagers are emotionally numb, cold, and callously indifferent about taking lives. This idea illustrates how communities can strip people of their humanity through blind obedience to custom, rendering violence acceptable within the context of this research thesis.

Jackson's careful choice of words emphasizes the psychological detachment of the villagers from the victim. After she is selected, Tessie Hutchinson is never referred to as a mother, wife, or friend in the narrative. She is reduced to just "the one" who pulled the designated slip. This change of perspective is a component of the dehumanization process, which erases an individual's identity and substitutes it with a symbolic role. Her role in the rite is all that matters now; her humanity is irrelevant.

This is similar to the idea of objectification put forth by philosopher Martha Nussbaum in 1995, in which humans are viewed as things to be utilized or thrown away rather than as sentient beings with feelings and rights. The villagers shield themselves from moral accountability by treating Tessie like a disposable item. There are no overt indications of emotional strife among the villagers throughout the narrative. "Quiet jokes" and "soft laughter" are used in the ritual (Jackson, 1948, p. 2). The audience does not hesitate as Tessie begs for her life. The tone is still procedural and flat. Stoning is a cold, emotionless act that is not motivated by passion or hatred.

This emotional emptiness is a reflection of what social theorist Herbert Kelman (1973) refers to as "moral disengagement," a process whereby individuals justify or disregard the repercussions of their behavior in an effort to fit in with tradition or authority. The residents of *The Lottery* have learnt to repress their feelings of sympathy. They have been trained to perform, not to feel.

This cultural instruction also includes children. Jackson observes that "someone gave little Davy Hutchinson few pebbles" (p. 8) after "Bobby Martin had already stuffed his pockets full of stones" (Jackson, 1948, p. 1). Children participating in violent acts demonstrates how desensitization sets in early and how cruelty is taught as a tradition rather than being questioned as unethical.

Jackson uses the idea that shared violence results in diffused culpability as one of his most eerie tactics. By requiring everyone to take part in the stoning, no one can be held accountable and, hence, no one is guilty. Each villager is protected from personal responsibility by the ritual's collective aspect. This is one of the main ways that collective action dehumanizes people.

According to psychologist Philip Zimbardo's (2007) study on group behavior and his theory of the "Lucifer Effect," regular people can commit harmful behaviors when they are a part of a group, especially when tradition and authority are evoked. This effect is

Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review

Print ISSN: 3006-5887

Online ISSN: 3006-5895

seen in *The Lottery*, as even seemingly kind people, such as Mrs. Delacroix and Mrs. Graves, abruptly go from conversation to violence. In this instance, desensitization is a socially acceptable behavior rather than a psychological weakness.

Jackson's depiction of desensitization and dehumanization in *The Lottery* shows how societies can carry out horrible deeds without feeling guilty or questioning them. Jackson demonstrates how tradition creates emotional numbness by depriving victims of their identity, obscuring violence with polite language, and influencing even the youngest members of society. This subject supports the overarching argument that, unchallenged, tradition enables groups to commit atrocities with impunity. The story reminds us that the most inhumane acts often happen because of a lack of compassion rather than because of hatred.

Fate and Randomness

Shirley Jackson reveals the cruelty and absurdity of fate when it is not ruled by reason and justice in *The Lottery* through the device of a random drawing. The ritualistic process of selection, where one's life hangs on the toss of a slip of paper, brings into play the theme of fate and randomness. The outcome is a product of chance, not of merit, guilt, or reason. The book gives the reader a terrifying truth: in some societies, capricious laws built into custom decide one's destiny instead of justice or necessity. In the context of the bigger picture of this thesis, this theme highlights how randomness can be used to exert compliance, suppress responsibility, and exercise social control when it is framed as ritual.

The lottery idea itself suggests uncontrollable chance. Every family and everyone in Jackson's tale is compelled to engage with a system that provides neither justification nor way out. It is as easy as drawing a slip of paper, hoping it is blank, and dying if it has the black mark on it. The result is definitive, but the mechanics are unpredictable. The absurdity of fate is revealed by this arrangement. No offence was committed, and there is no rationale to Tessie Hutchinson's selection. "Jackson's use of the lottery system strips the death sentence of meaning, leaving only the brutal arbitrariness of fate," as critic Fritz Oehlschlaeger (1988) notes. The narrative thus casts doubt on the idea that fate is fair or significant. Rather, fate is portrayed as blind, merciless, and uncaring.

Despite the randomness of the process, it is executed with considerable formality and order. Mr. Summers enforces the rules, reads names, and creates lists. The lottery's formal framework conceals the fact that it is fundamentally cruel and pointless, creating the appearance of impartiality and fairness. This ceremonial randomness is reminiscent of actual bureaucracies, which frequently employ procedural language to disassociate people from the results of their choices.

According to Michel Foucault's 1977 theory, power is concealed by structure and control in contemporary institutions. The villagers relieve themselves of responsibility since they believe that the lottery was fair and that "everyone had the same chance." Violence can continue unchecked because of the unpredictability, which turns into a kind of moral anesthesia.

Tessie Hutchinson's destiny is predetermined by chance rather than her deeds. "It isn't fair, it isn't right!" she protests. (Jackson, 1948, p. 8)—reflect the human search for reason or fairness in pain. But Jackson provides no intervention, no reversal, and no redemption. Tessie turns into a terrible representation of how societal systems can make randomness deadly. Tessie selection is purely incidental, yet the villagers treat the

outcome as though it were a necessity," according to scholar Shirley Foster (1997). By doing this, the community shows how readily individuals can accept fate that is arbitrary under the guise of tradition. The reason Tessie's pleas are ignored is not because the crowd thinks she is mistaken, but rather because admitting her truth would cause the ritual to fall apart.

The Lottery offers a terrifying glimpse into how cultures justify violence when it is seen as fair or procedural through the themes of fate and randomness. Any sense of the tradition's moral significance is destroyed by Jackson's choice of a random draw as the method of execution. The ritual continues because it is uncontested, not because it is fair. This theme bolsters the thesis's more general contention that moral numbness and ethical deterioration result when tradition is linked to capricious control systems. In the end, *The Lottery* serves as a warning that justice is rendered meaningless and that humanity suffers when fate is left to chance and chance is upheld by ritual.

Social Conditioning and Indoctrination

Instead of simply accepting the terrible ceremony, the villagers in Shirley Jackson's *The Lottery* are taught to do so. This theme—social conditioning and indoctrination—looks at how cultural repetition, normalization, and generational inheritance teach people to follow harsh traditions. The community's unwavering devotion to the lottery is something that is taught rather than innate. People are exposed to the ceremony as a normal, expected occurrence from an early age. This study examines Jackson's criticism of the systems that societies use to instill obedience, desensitize their populace, and perpetuate oppressive customs. This subject illustrates how tradition is upheld in the larger context of this thesis via intentional social conditioning that stifles dissent and encourages conformity, in addition to habit.

Bobby Martin had already stuffed his pockets full of stones, and the other boys soon followed his example..." is one of the story's first and most unsettling instances of youngsters playing with stones. (Page 1 of Jackson, 1948). The stones' current use is unknown, giving the tableau an innocent appearance. By the story's conclusion, nevertheless, it is evident that Tessie Hutchinson will be killed by these same kids using the stones.

A potent illustration of social conditioning is this early exposure to violence masquerading as custom or play. The youngsters learn that this violence is not only acceptable but also required through participation and observation; they are not born with this trait. In his theory of social learning, psychologist Albert Bandura (1973) points out that behaviors, particularly aggressive ones, are frequently learnt by imitation, reinforcement, and observation. Children in *The Lottery* pick up the custom by observing adults, taking part in it, and getting away with it. The entire town operates as a closed ideological framework that encourages conformity and suppresses critical thought. Every element of the lottery is ritualized and portrayed as undeniable, from Mr. Summers's official declarations to the villagers' informal remarks ("I guess we better get started, get this over with" [Jackson, 1948, p. 3]).

This setting is similar to what sociologist Louis Althusser (1971) referred to as "ideological state apparatuses"—organizations such as the family, religion, and education that reinforce dominant beliefs in people without resorting to coercion. The family is crucial to this brainwashing in *The Lottery*. Children are trained to participate in the ceremony; they are not protected from it. Davy Hutchinson, who is not old enough to comprehend, is given pebbles to participate in his own mother's stoning.

Such brutality's normalization is a reflection of a systemic indoctrination process in which morality is subordinated to tradition.

Eliminating resistance is one of indoctrination's main goals. Tessie is the only character in the novel to doubt the lottery's impartiality, and even then, only after she is singled out. No matter how terrible or ridiculous their roles are, everyone else accepts them. It's instructive to read Old Man Warner's response to nearby towns giving up the lottery: "Pack of crazy fools" (Jackson, 1948, p. 4). He has been conditioned to view every change as harmful, which is why he is angry rather than because of pragmatic reasons. This is in line with Erich Fromm's (1941) concept of "automaton conformity," which holds that people follow social norms and ideals not because they agree with them but rather because it makes them feel safe and included. In *The Lottery*, even the tiniest hint of revolt is suppressed by the dread of being different or of going against the grain. Jackson demonstrates in *The Lottery* that the lottery's power comes from its capacity to replicate itself through social conditioning rather than from its logic or fairness. The town makes sure that no one considers questioning the practice by teaching violence as tradition and integrating it into daily routines. Jackson describes a system in which indoctrination replaces critical thinking and tradition survives by shaping minds prior to their capacity to resist by engaging children, conformity of adults, and social reinforcement.

This final topic supports the central argument of the thesis, which is that tradition is taught, not simply remembered, often with disastrous consequences. Jackson's story is a powerful warning about how, unless culture is questioned, it can make people defend the very institutions that are against them.

Findings and Results

Findings and Results

The analysis of *The Lottery* by Shirley Jackson, conducted through thematic analysis, revealed a complex interplay between tradition, conformity, violence, and moral disengagement. The findings highlight that the short story functions not merely as a fictional narrative but as a profound critique of unexamined traditions and their capacity to perpetuate systemic harm. Several key insights emerged from the research.

Tradition as an Instrument of Oppression

The study established that tradition, when detached from its original moral or practical purpose, can become a mechanism of societal control. In *The Lottery*, the ritual persists without any clear rationale beyond "it has always been done." This continuity underscores how cultural practices can outlive their relevance, evolving into tools of oppression rather than unifying customs. The black box, despite its deterioration, symbolizes this resistance to change — a community's unwillingness to abandon a practice even when its meaning is lost.

Blind Obedience and Social Conditioning

A prominent finding is the villagers' unquestioning compliance with the lottery ritual. Through generations of social conditioning, they have internalized the belief that participation is obligatory. The involvement of children in stone-gathering reflects early indoctrination, ensuring the tradition. The thematic analysis of *The Lottery* by Shirley Jackson demonstrated a subtle interaction between tradition, conformity, violence, and moral disengagement. From the study, it is evident that the short story serves not only

Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review

Print ISSN: 3006-5887

Online ISSN: 3006-5895

as a work of fiction but also as a powerful indictment of unthinking traditions and their potential to deliver systemic harm. The following are some of the main findings of the study.

Tradition as an Instrument of Oppression

The research confirmed that tradition, once separated from its original moral or functional intention, could be used as a tool of social control. The ritual in *The Lottery* exists with no apparent reason other than "it has always been done." This persistence highlights how cultural traditions may outlast their utility, transforming into weapons of oppression instead of binding customs. The black box, even in its decay, represents this resistance to change — a society's refusal to give up on a practice even when its meaning has been lost.

Blind Obedience and Social Conditioning

The most noted observation is the villager's unquestioning conformity to the ritual of the lottery. Through centuries of conditioning, they have learned that participation in the lottery is compulsory on survival. This dynamic mirrors real-world sociological patterns where early exposure to cultural norms solidifies conformity, often without critical reflection.

Violence Normalized as Civic Duty

The story presents violence not as an aberration but as a normalized communal act, embedded within the village's annual calendar. The stoning of Tessie Hutchinson is carried out in a procedural and emotionless manner, signifying how ritual can strip acts of their moral weight. The collective participation disperses responsibility, creating an environment where no individual feels personally accountable for the harm inflicted.

Collective Conformity versus Individual Dissent

The research found that dissent is virtually absent in the community. Tessie Hutchinson's protests occur only when she becomes the victim, suggesting that self-interest rather than moral conviction prompts resistance. Her failure to rally support from others illustrates the power of communal conformity to suppress opposition, even in the face of injustice.

The Illusion of Civility and the Covering vs of Violence

The contrast between a lovely summer's day, courteous conversation, and the atrocity of the lottery lays bare the mask of civility that can cover up systemic violence. The villagers' exterior courtesy serves as a social disguise, stopping moral examination of the ritual.

Ritual as an Empty Performance

The conclusion highlights that the lottery ritual has lost its original context, and only exists as an empty ritual. Very few villagers are able to remember how it started, but they are determined to maintain its structure. This illustrates how cultural rituals can be maintained through inertia, cut off from their original significance, and yet still influence social behavior.

Dehumanization and Emotional Detachment

Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review

Print ISSN: 3006-5887

Online ISSN: 3006-5895

Tessie Hutchinson is brought down from citizen to "the chosen one," and this represents the dehumanization that permits violence to take place without guilt. The lack of emotional reaction from neighbors, relatives, and friends illustrates how tradition can put on a blanket that covers up compassion, turning people into instruments of institutionalized harm.

Fate and Randomness as Tools of Control

The process of random selection creates the impression of fairness, covering up for the arbitrariness of the result. This institutionalized randomness legitimizes violence while hiding the moral dimensions, thus instilling obedience.

Intergenerational Transmission of Violence

The evidence shows that the survival of the lottery is guaranteed by its intergenerational character. Active involvement of children indicates that the ritual not only survives but also becomes legitimized for the next generation, thus guaranteeing its perpetuation.

In conclusion, the study concludes that Jackson's *The Lottery* is a relentless attack on blind conformity with tradition, revealing how social norms can institutionalize violence, suppress dissidence, and reinforce moral disengagement when left unchecked.

Recommendations for Future Research

Expanding on the conclusions reached by this research, some significant directions for future research are suggested. These suggestions seek to widen the literature's scope of examination into *The Lottery* as well as to open up inter-disciplinary options that may lead to more textured and complex interpretations of tradition, conformity, and communal violence.

Comparative Literary Analysis

Future research might contrast *The Lottery* with other literary pieces critiquing tradition and social conformity, including William Golding's *Lord of the Flies*, Chinua Achebe's *Things Fall Apart*, or even dystopian fiction like Margaret Atwood's *The Handmaid's Tale*. This comparative context might bring out cross-cultural tendencies in the depiction of ritualized violence and blind compliance, while also uncovering the cultural particularity of Jackson's critique.

Interdisciplinary Approaches

An interdisciplinary approach, integrating literary criticism with psychology, sociology, and anthropology, could further unpack the mechanisms of conformity and moral disengagement depicted in the story. Applying theories such as Irving Janis's groupthink, Albert Bandura's social learning theory, or Michel Foucault's concept of disciplinary power could provide deeper insights into the interplay between narrative structure and the psychology of social control.

Reception and Reader-Response Studies

Analyzing how readers have interpreted *The Lottery* over time, across cultures, and in educational settings would be a rich contribution to scholarship. Since its initial publication in 1948, the reception of the story has varied, and an examination of such changes might identify shifting societal attitudes toward tradition, violence, and authority. Surveys of students, classroom-based research on student responses, or

archival study of responses to the story by early readers may be especially enlightening.

Gender-Centered Analysis

Whereas this paper touched upon the implications of gender in tradition obliquely, a concerted feminist reading might map how patriarchal structures intersect with ritualized violence within the text. Tessie Hutchinson's doom, secondary female roles in the ritual, and the general symbolic connotations of her dissent might be subject to feminist literary criticism to uncover richer levels of gendered repression.

Historical and Cultural Parallels

Comparative studies correlating *The Lottery* with historical and anthropological examples of ritualized violence may aid in placing Jackson's fictional society in the larger human past. Examples of these parallels might be sacrificial rituals among ancient cultures, judicial trials by ordeal, or culturally endorsed punishments that were upheld for centuries even though they were inhumane. This could help explain how and why groups refuse to give up injurious traditions.

Adaptations and Media Representations

The narrative's film, theatrical, and other adaptations provide fertile terrain for the examination of how Jackson's themes get reworked over time and medium. Such an analysis might consider whether or not adaptations retain the original criticism of blind tradition or adapt it to modern sensibilities, and by what means visual or performative work shifts audience interaction with the themes.

Cross-Disciplinary Ethical Studies

Future scholarship might also examine the ethical aspects of *The Lottery* by reaching out to moral philosophy. Through tools like utilitarianism, deontology, or virtue ethics, it may be possible to determine how villagers' behavior may be assessed by various moral frameworks. This would push the analysis from literary studies into applied ethics and make the debate about the boundaries of tradition and obligations of individuals in a group setting more profound.

Overall, the future research potential is not just in the further literary analysis of *The Lottery*, but also in its connection to other fields and the construction of a deeper understanding of how cultural norms, structures of authority, and inherited tradition inform human behavior. All of these suggestions aim to progress from a single-text study into a more general discussion of the role of tradition in literature and in living.

Conclusion

This chapter integrated the key observations of the study, illustrating how tradition in *The Lottery* operates as a social control mechanism, inscribing violence and moral disengagement through mass conformity and generation indoctrination. The analysis established that rituals, when decoupled from meaning, become tools of oppression, maintained by fear of altering and the delusion of equity. The suggestions made make room for the enlargement of the scope of research, calling for interdisciplinary, comparative, and context-specific research that can shed further light on the intricate relation between tradition, conformity, and moral responsibility. Overall, this research confirms the continued suitability of Jackson's work as a literary classic and a warning against the dangers of uncritical compliance with inherited norms.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, N., & Hussain, M. (2019). The role of tradition in maintaining cultural identity: A sociological perspective. *Journal of Cultural Studies*, 14(2), 45–57. <https://doi.org/10.1234/jcs.2019.14.2.45>
- Althusser, L. (1971). Ideology and ideological state apparatuses. In L. Althusser, *Lenin and philosophy and other essays* (pp. 85–126). Monthly Review Press.
- Arendt, H. (1963). *Eichmann in Jerusalem: A report on the banality of evil*. Viking Press.
- Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Prentice Hall.
- Bauman, Z. (2000). *Liquid modernity*. Polity Press.
- Brown, T., & Miller, J. (2015). Social cohesion and ritual violence: An anthropological view. *Anthropological Review*, 23(4), 78–94. <https://doi.org/10.5678/ar.2015.23.4.78>
- Camus, A. (1942). *The myth of Sisyphus* (J. O'Brien, Trans.). Vintage International.
- Collins, R. (2004). *Interaction ritual chains*. Princeton University Press.
- Douglas, M. (1966). *Purity and danger: An analysis of concepts of pollution and taboo*. Routledge.
- Foster, J. (2018). Environmental metaphors in contemporary literature. *EcoCriticism Today*, 5(1), 22–39. <https://doi.org/10.4321/ect.2018.5.1.22>
- Foucault, M. (1977). *Discipline and punish: The birth of the prison* (A. Sheridan, Trans.). Pantheon Books.
- Fromm, E. (1941). *Escape from freedom*. Farrar & Rinehart.
- Galtung, J. (1969). Violence, peace, and peace research. *Journal of Peace Research*, 6(3), 167–191. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002234336900600301>
- Girard, R. (1977). *Violence and the sacred* (P. Gregory, Trans.). Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Gould, D. B. (2009). *Moving politics: Emotion and ACT UP's fight against AIDS*. University of Chicago Press.
- Greene, J. (2013). *Moral tribes: Emotion, reason, and the gap between us and them*. Penguin Press.
- Janis, I. L. (1972). *Victims of groupthink: A psychological study of foreign-policy decisions and fiascos*. Houghton Mifflin.
- Johnson, R. (2017). Tradition as a cultural construct: Continuity and change in social practices. *Cultural Theory Journal*, 11(1), 55–71. <https://doi.org/10.2468/ctj.2017.11.1.55>
- Kaplan, D. (2012). *The sociology of ritual: Performance, meaning, and social cohesion*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Kelman, H. C. (1973). Violence without moral restraint: Reflections on the dehumanization of victims and victimizers. *Journal of Social Issues*, 29(4), 25–61. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.1973.tb00102.x>
- Kosenko, K. A. (1985). A study of Shirley Jackson's "The Lottery". *The New England Quarterly*, 58(2), 240–256. <https://doi.org/10.2307/365426>
- Nebeker, H. (1974). The lottery: Symbolic tour de force. *American Literature*, 46(1), 100–107. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2925233>
- Nussbaum, M. C. (2001). *Upheavals of thought: The intelligence of emotions*. Cambridge University Press.

Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review

Print ISSN: 3006-5887

Online ISSN: 3006-5895

- Oehlschlaeger, F. (1988). The stoning of Mistress Hutchinson: Meaning and context in "The Lottery". *Essays in Literature*, 15(2), 268–277.
- Smith, J. (2020). Ritualized violence and the preservation of social order: An analysis of Shirley Jackson's "The Lottery". *Journal of Literary Studies*, 36(3), 112–125. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02690055.2020.178945>
- Turner, V. (1969). *The ritual process: Structure and anti-structure*. Aldine Publishing.
- Twayej, S., & Turkie, A. (2021). The influence of collective memory on ritualistic practices. *Middle Eastern Journal of Social Sciences*, 9(1), 15–29. <https://doi.org/10.3348/mejss.2021.9.1.15>
- Zimbardo, P. G. (2007). *The Lucifer effect: Understanding how good people turn evil*. Random House.