

**Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review**

**Print ISSN: 3006-5887**

**Online ISSN: 3006-5895**

**<https://llrjournal.com/index.php/11>**

**Comparative Analysis of Question vs. Statement Titles in Linguistics and Literature Research Publications**



**Aiman Chodhary**

PhD Scholar, COMSATS University Islamabad, Vehari  
Campus Email: aimench0200@gmail.com

**Prof. Dr. Ali Ahmad**

Chairperson, Department of Humanities, COMSATS  
University Islamabad Email: aliahmad@cuivehari.edu.pk

**Abstract**

This study compares question and statement titles in linguistics and literature research publications to determine how title modality functions as a marker of disciplinary identity, epistemological stance, and communicative intent. Using a qualitative design that combines corpus analysis with discourse-based interpretation, the study examines a curated dataset of titles from high-impact journals in both fields and codes each title for grammatical form, rhetorical function, informational density, and stance features based on Systemic Functional Linguistics. The analysis shows that question titles often foreground variables, phenomena, or research problems in ways that engage readers dialogically, whereas statement titles tend to encapsulate thematic arguments or interpretive outcomes consistent with the epistemic norms of literary scholarship. These findings suggest that title modality is not simply a stylistic preference but an epistemologically meaningful feature shaped by disciplinary conventions. They also indicate that scholars consider modality when constructing titles that reflect the knowledge practices of their fields.

**Keywords:** *Empirical Study, Academic Titles, Interrogative vs. Declarative Modality, Linguistics And Literature, Disciplinary Discourse, Rhetorical Stance, Corpus Analysis, Scholarly Communication*

**Introduction**

In the academic publishing, the title does not simply refer to a label. It is the initial, and occasionally the sole, text a reader encounters prior to deciding of whether he or she is interested in a research article or not. Effective titles play three roles, which are to inform content, to indicate disciplinary expectations, and to entice readers and references. It has been established that titles do play a role in the visibility of the search engines, the expectations of the readers and the positioning of a piece of research in the scholarly conversation. In connection with this reason, titles are regarded as the essential part of academic communication (Hyland & Zou, 2022).

The titles of academics are quite diverse in terms of shape and purpose. Others are statements, which explicitly express the subject, procedure or conclusions. Questions are other categories, which are expressed as questions to anticipate a lack of knowledge or stimulate curiosity. Other research in different fields shows that this variation is also related to the conventions of discipline and communicative goals of authors (Jiang & Hyland, 2023). The difference in discipline can be particularly particular when it comes to the disciplines where the norms of rhetoric and the textual interaction vary, such as linguistics and literature. As an example, humanities, such as literature, can be less analytical and more interpretative in their disposition, and so, more exploratory or stylistic titles can be used, but linguistics may be more inclined to titles that are more analytic of the linguistic phenomenon or linguistic analysis. Although titles are known to be important in academic writing, there is a lack of empirical studies on the comparison of rhetorical and disciplinary functions of various types of titles. A lot of literature on titles is based on syntactic structures, length, or disciplinary variation, but not on the particular distinction between question based vs. statement-based titles and its meaning and disciplinary identity. A systematic review

# **Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review**

**Print ISSN: 3006-5887**

**Online ISSN: 3006-5895**

of title studies conducted recently has also revealed that the area has expanded, with more focus on title length and structure, yet also indicates gaps in cross disciplinary comparison and studies of how titles act in discourse (Wang et al., 2024).

The studies of this field have been mostly descriptive and not directly relating title form with disciplinary practices. In such a manner, comparing syntactic structure and rhetorical combinations of article titles in medicine and applied linguistics, Moslehi & Kafipour (2022) discovered significant differences in the size and structure of titles and their writing but not the role of the question vs. statement forms in the rhetorical context of each field. Their analysis revealed that the titles in soft sciences such as applied linguistics are usually shorter and have another structure compared to the hard sciences without studying the way of how the interrogative forms are used in a discourse. On the same note, mass corpus researches demonstrate trends of discipline, without necessarily examining qualitatively the role of titles in academic communication. Analyzing 36,000 titles in six disciplines, Jiang & Hyland (2023) identified a rise in interrogative and compound titles across time, which indicate the dynamic academic practices in addressing the changing publication pressures and search behaviors on the Internet. This diachronic evidence highlights the increasingly diverse number of forms of titles but opens up to a narrowed discussion of the discourse to discuss the specific way of question vs. statement titles operating rhetorically.

Linguistic studies have also delved into title characteristics in some more fine-grained ways. Surapongraktrakool (2023) analyzed the title length and structure in five linguistic branches and found that there are systematic differences in the way titles are constructed in different sub-fields. This research did not separate the forms of question and statement; however, it points out the variability and sensitiveness of titles to the sub disciplinary norms. Discourse analysis presents an option of filling this gap in the absence of direct empirical research on the rhetorical role of interrogative vs. declarative titles. The form of a title can create anticipations on the part of the reader in regard to position, certitude and epistemic stances. The title of the questions presupposes the foregrounding of the inquiry and openness that encourages the readers to address unresolved problems. On the contrary, authority, clarity, and closure are indicated in the titles of the statements, making the research a contribution to existing knowledge (Hyland & Zou, 2022).

In this paper, the investigation of question and statement title serving different rhetorical and disciplinary purposes is carried out with respect to two closely connected areas of study linguistics and literature. These fields are not picked randomly. Linguistics being a discipline that is based on an analytical and systematic enquiry could prefer titles that are well-defined and reflect research issues, research approaches, or research findings. Literature, in its turn, has the tendency to dwell upon interpretative complexity and aesthetic expression and interrogative titles may indicate critical activity or exploration of a subject. But there is still no empirical comparison in an exhaustive way on the functioning of these forms in published studies in these fields.

## **Problem statement**

Although the majority of literature on academic titles continues to increase, there is a gap concerning the empirical studies on the rhetorical and disciplinary functions of question vs. statement titles. Most research carried out on the topic of academic titles

# **Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review**

**Print ISSN: 3006-5887**

**Online ISSN: 3006-5895**

has addressed the different characteristics of the title, including the syntactic forms, length, and patterns of citation, yet there are very few studies that directly capture the modality of the titles, either in terms of their articulation as questions or statements, and their influence on disciplinary discourse and epistemological positioning (Jiang & Hyland, 2023). Although a research has found tendencies in title construction, such as the increase in popularity of compound and interrogative titles, such studies usually ignore how the functionality and meaning of question titles differ with statement titles in certain academic disciplines (Hyland & Zou, 2022).

Of particular interest in this gap is the comparison between linguistics and literature. Linguistics, being more analytical in inquiry, will prefer titles that claim to have a finding or a hypothesis, whereas literature, being more interpretative and debative will prefer titles that provoke inquiry. There is practically no empirical research that directly compares the rhetorical importance and disciplinary innuendos of question and statement titles in these two areas. This paper seeks to address this gap and explore ways in which these title formats mirror authorial stance, disciplinary identity as well as the overall epistemological orientations of the linguistics and literature research.

## **Research Question**

How do question and statement titles appear and are used in research articles concerning the linguistics and literature fields?

What rhetorical functions do titles of questions and statement have in these sciences?

How do such forms of titles show practice of discipline and communicative goals?

## **Research objectives**

To elaborate, question and statement titles appear and are used in research articles concerning the linguistics and literature fields.

To examine the rhetorical functions that titles of questions and statements have in these sciences.

To examine forms of titles that show practice of discipline and communicative goals.

## **Literature Review**

Titles in academics are not mere titles. They are the initial contact to the readers, and can usually determine whether a paper will be read, downloaded or cited. Hyland & Zou (2022) suggest that titles are now, at the centre of research communication due to the growth of online search engines that index the articles individually, but not the whole journal. A good title is currently a necessity to become visible and attract the reader in the case of academic writers. Their corpus analysis of more than 5,000 titles of six disciplines revealed apparent disciplinary distinctions in pattern of structures and content emphasis in titles, which revealed that titles are used to communicate different things in different academic contexts. Title forms have also been followed as diachrony by scholars. Using the samples of 36,000 of the top journals published over decades, Jiang & Hyland (2023) reported that the number of interrogative and compound titles had been getting significantly higher across the disciplines, with more positive results observed in softer areas of humanities. This tendency is associated with the shifts in the norms of publishing and search, since authors are trying to provide interrogative constructions to indicate inquiry and involvement of the audience. Other research attests that titles are varied in their form and purpose.

## **Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review**

**Print ISSN: 3006-5887**

**Online ISSN: 3006-5895**

Cross disciplinary strategies depict that titles can incorporate explicit means, results, or questions in accordance to pragmatic and disciplinary inclinations. Xie (2020) concluded that although the length and syntactic type are structural features that most researchers typically focus on when researching titles, cross-scholaristic studies are scarce.

There is also empirical work indicating contextual use of titles. To illustrate, Jasrial & colleagues (2024) investigated the title features of ELT journals (English Language Teaching) and discovered that there were clear trends in national and international journals. Their findings revealed that nominal structures and titles of the compounds are not only frequent but they are also systematically related to the research design and the journal context, which should mean that such structural decisions in titles are related to disciplinary norms and the expectations of the audience. Other empirical studies on the influence of title type on the performance of papers can also be utilized to supplement the language studies. Studies of scientific titles issued in 2024 have found that scientific titles that state important findings in a declarative (statement) form have a higher visibility and are more likely to be cited than other types of titles, indicating that the form of title can have an impact on the impact of scholarship. This research question emphasize the communicative gravity of title selections to academic access and interaction, although they scarcely concentrate on explicitly contrasting the title types in question and statement as a tool of scholarly communication within a particular area of research such as linguistics and literature.

There are evident disciplinary lodging over titles. In their corpus study of human and physical sciences, Hyland & Zou (2022) found that title structures and focus of the content in the titles vary based on disciplinary norms, meaning that various academic domains place more emphasis on various types of information packaging when creating titles. Although this offers a general background, a linguistic scholarship indicates that further structural and rhetorical differentiation may exist. A recent survey of title patterns in 5 branches of linguistics reveals a great number of types of syntactic constructions with independent clauses more frequent in some branches (e.g. psycholinguistics). This indicates that the field of linguistics pre-emptively is internally diverse in terms of the encoding of research interest and approach in titles. Such direct empirical studies of title forms are more rare in humanities and literature. Majority of the research is based on the older cross disciplinary research which utilized literature out of multiple disciplines. As an example, Haggan (2004) established that full sentence titles were rare but the case of compound and nominal was usual in linguistics and literature. Although this work is not quite a new one, it points to a disciplinary divide that has remained: the titles of works in literature and linguistics more frequently indicate the multifarious rhetorical intentions of the writing (e.g., thematic exploration or critical inquiry) than do the hard sciences.

Research on academic titles has increasingly emphasized their central role in scholarly communication. Rather than functioning as simple labels, titles act as gateways that shape visibility, readership, and citation impact. Hyland & Zou (2022), in a large-scale corpus study of over 5,000 research article titles across six disciplines, demonstrate that titles reflect disciplinary values through differences in length, syntactic structure, and informational focus. Their findings show that humanities titles often display greater rhetorical flexibility, while science-oriented disciplines prioritize conciseness and clarity. Jiang & Hyland (2023), analyzing 36,000 titles diachronically, report a significant rise in interrogative and compound titles over recent decades,

# **Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review**

**Print ISSN: 3006-5887**

**Online ISSN: 3006-5895**

particularly in softer disciplines. This increase is linked to changing publication pressures, digital indexing practices, and the need for greater reader engagement.

Earlier foundational research also supports the disciplinary sensitivity of title construction. Halliday (1994) provides the theoretical grounding through Systemic Functional Linguistics, explaining how language choices including interrogative and declarative forms realize interpersonal and ideational meanings. Haggan (2004), in one of the early cross-disciplinary analyses of research titles, found that nominal and compound structures dominate in linguistics and literature, though full-sentence titles remain relatively rare. His work highlighted that titles in humanities disciplines often balance informativeness with rhetorical appeal. More recently, Kafipour & Moslehi (2022) compared medicine and applied linguistics, identifying structural differences in length and complexity, though without specifically isolating interrogative forms. Their quantitative findings nevertheless reinforce the idea that title form correlates with disciplinary practice.

Empirical studies provide further evidence of how structural features influence scholarly communication. Surapongraktrakool (2023) examined title patterns across five sub-branches of linguistics and identified systematic variation in syntactic constructions, showing that even within a single discipline, subfields demonstrate distinctive preferences. Jasrial et al. (2024) analyzed English Language Teaching journal titles and found that compound and nominalized structures are strongly associated with research design and journal scope. These results suggest that title construction is shaped not only by broad disciplinary identity but also by institutional and contextual expectations.

Beyond structural considerations, several scholars have linked title types to research impact and visibility. Braticевич et al. (2020) report that declarative titles explicitly presenting findings tend to achieve higher citation rates in certain scientific domains. This supports the argument that statement titles may enhance clarity and perceived authority. Meanwhile, Xie (2020) emphasizes that although much scholarship has focused on title length and syntax, fewer studies have examined the rhetorical implications of interrogative versus declarative forms. Collectively, these studies reveal that while the field of title research has expanded considerably, direct qualitative comparisons between question and statement titles especially within closely related disciplines such as linguistics and literature remain limited.

A few oblique clues in allied disciplines indicate that the titles of humanities may adopt what one might call exploratory forms or stylistic forms more than they employ purely informative forms. This is consistent with other genre studies that suggest the general focus of humanities scholars on interpretive framing and thematic involvement in text presentation, which carries some implication on choice of title (e.g., the use of literary or rhetorical question). In spite of the lack of direct empirical evidence that specifically examines the topic of interrogative vs. statement titles in literature journals, the trend in this discipline indicates significant variance in rhetorical orientation. Titles are not just the identification of content; they also indicate the existence of epistemic confidence and willingness to investigate or to assert the contents. The growth of interrogative titles reported by Jiang & Hyland (2023) indicates a general movement toward forms that are more engagement oriented in the disciplines that invite exploration and not assertion as a result of questioning. Comparatively, titles in the form of declarations or statements are focused on clarity and certainty. Studies of scientific titles indicate that in cases where titles clearly

# **Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review**

**Print ISSN: 3006-5887**

**Online ISSN: 3006-5895**

indicate results or conclusions, such titles are likely to enhance more citation and visibility since they present the important findings to the readers. This utilitarian role indicates the role of various forms of titles in facilitating various academic aims.

The linguistic research has demonstrated the same where structural aspects of titles (e.g. clauses or phrases) express various communicative rhythms and purposes. As an illustration, interrogative forms are inherently a pre-emptive research question and uncertainty, making the article be addressed to a gap that can be identified. Declarative titles, in their turn, represent the research as solving or addressing a specified issue. Although there has not been systematic empirical comparison of literature research, this difference sheds light on how the types of titles may potentially play a rhetorical role in the discourse of disciplines.

## **Research Gap**

The majority of empirical title researches are on large cross disciplinary corpora (e.g., Hyland & Zou, 2022; Jiang & Hyland, 2023) as opposed to direct qualitative comparisons of particular title forms in specified disciplines such as linguistics and literature. Results on title performance (e.g., visibility or citation patterns) tend to concentrate on quantitative results and not on the rhetorical sense and disciplinary implication of question vs. statement titles. A little qualitative discourse analysis has been done on how and why title form is significant to scholarly communication in a given discipline, particularly in the humanities.

## **Theoretical Framework**

### ***Systemic Functional Linguistics (SFL)***

Systemic functional Linguistics (SFL) was created by Michael Halliday (1994) as a method of studying the role of language within social environments focusing on the ways in which language is utilized to create meaning. According to SFL, language is system of options which speakers and writers select in order to achieve communicative objectives. SFL has been used extensively in this paper under the analysis of academic titles, which acts as a rhetorical means to indicate the position of the writer, his/her intention and the alignment with disciplinary perspective.

The SFL model revolves around three meta functions of language, i.e., the ideational, interpersonal and the textual. All meta functions are associated with various elements of communication:

**Ideational Function:** It is the way language is applied to reflect the ideas and concepts, and this is particularly applicable in the name of the research which is supposed to be the description of the content of the research or the overview of findings. This role is mostly in play in declarative titles (statements) that make conclusions or state data in an authoritative way.

**Interpersonal Function:** This aspect of language deals with the way the speakers or writers deal with the audience affecting their attitudes, beliefs or behavior. The obvious example of this meta function is the titles of the questions that welcome the reader into a conversation or a question and make the research appear open-ended and exploratory. The titles that use questions imply inviting to discussion, which means that the author is willing to discuss and critically reflect on it.

**Textual Function:** This is concerned with the manner in which language is divided to form coherent and cohesive texts. Compound structures of titles used like the use of colons aim at organizing the information in a manner that directs the reader on what

# **Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review**

**Print ISSN: 3006-5887**

**Online ISSN: 3006-5895**

to expect ahead to give him or her a guideline on how to perceive the information that the paper carries (Hyland, 2004).

Using the analytical approach of SFL to question vs. statement titles, the study will gain insights into the way title questions and statements represent various communicative strategies: questions as the signs of interest and some form of openness, and statements as the signs of assertiveness and even certainty. These titles are therefore not merely syntactic forms but they also indicate and impose the epistemological position of the research to lead readers to certain expectations of what knowledge development must be conducted in each field.

## **Conceptual Model**

This paper introduces a theoretical framework to examine connection among the title form (question vs. statement), communicative intention and disciplinary identity in academic writing. The framework suggests that the title modality, be it a question or a statement, is a signifier of both rhetorical intent and disciplinary practices and this association is determined by the following factors:

**Title Form (Question vs. Statement):** The linguistic decision between the question and statement is an imperative difference in the way the researcher locates his/her work in the academic environment. The titles of questions are usually related to the inquiry and exploration, and it encourages the open-minded and critical thinking. The titles of statements, in turn, express knowledge, perhaps summing up conclusions and stating findings in a certain way.

**Communicative Mindedness:** The communicative intent of a title is connected with its form. Questions will propose invitations and interest, putting the research process as problem-solving or theory-testing, whereas statements will attract the emphasis of authority as an expression of the researcher as a one who states an argument or a definite solution. These decisions are the manifestations of the interpersonal meta function of language that define the relations of the author and reader and the situational location of the work.

**Disciplinary Identity:** The order of the title is as well an indication of norms of discipline. The conventions of the presentation of knowledge vary across the academic disciplines. As an example, title in linguistics is frequently tilted towards the question, as inquiry and the testing of hypothesis is the epistemology of the discipline. This may be less assertive and descriptive in literature where titles may be more interpretative and critical in nature. This framework connects title modality with disciplinary demands, according to which the formulation of knowledge in title is in line with the values and aspirations of particular academic communities (Hyland, 2004).

## **Methodology**

### **Research Design: Qualitative Discourse Analysis Approach**

This research paper used a qualitative discourse analysis (QDA) methodology to examine the rhetorical and communicative roles of question vs. statement titles in scholarly research articles in the fields of linguistics and literature. Discourse analysis is especially applicable to such a study because it gives the opportunity to explore linguistic, rhetorical, and epistemological positions, which are encoded in titles in in-depth manner. This approach to the study of titles as a discourse marker discloses the social and disciplinary hidden functions of language employed in academic situations.

# Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review

Print ISSN: 3006-5887

Online ISSN: 3006-5895

More particularly, QDA allows interpreting the interpersonal role of language i.e. how the title of the questions can be invigorated and the title of the statements can be asserted as knowledge so that the epistemic functions of each type of title are differentiated (Hyland, 2004).

As used in the present analysis, discourse analysis is not merely a process that enumerates and classifies structural features, but it investigates the mechanisms of meaning-making, how titles can be used to situate the research in the larger field of discussion. In this way, the research was deconstruct rhetorical decisions made by authors in the process of creating titles and understand the ways in which these decisions mirror their corresponding epistemic culture of the disciplines.

**Data Collection: Articles and Title Selection Criterion**

Academic articles published in linguistics and literature journals between 2015 and 2025 was the data of this study. The selection of articles was in accordance with the following criteria:

**Disciplinary Scope:** Articles Titles were selected linguistics and literature journals. This makes it possible to compare these two areas in a narrow way, with each of the two fields having a unique way of research and writing.

**Title Type:** Titles can be explicitly question titles (i.e., titles presented as a question or a problem), or statement titles (i.e., titles presented as making a conclusion, finding or knowledge). Titles which combine the two forms (e.g. What is the role of language in the formation of social identity? A study on (linguistic practices) will not be included since this study involves titles that state either of the two forms explicitly.

**Publication Year:** The articles were published within the period of 2015 to 2025 so that the study can reflect the latest trends in academic writing.

**Accessibility:** Articles that are freely available or those that are available through institutional access was included. This guarantees that the sample is a research that is publicly available and can be approached by a wide academic audience.

The final sample contained 50 articles of linguistics and 50 articles of literature, which was a sufficient representation of each field. Out of both sets of articles, the title were evaluated separately and discussed with respect to the linguistic structure (e.g., syntactic decisions, modal verbs) and the rhetorical purpose (e.g., reaching out to the reader vs. establishing the authority).

## **Data Analysis: Discourse Analysis Process**

The analysis is conducted within the framework of a multiple steps discourse analysis to discuss the linguistic and rhetorical features of titles. It involves the following steps;

**Title Classification:** A title is initially sorted into two groups, namely, question titles or statement titles. Questions titles may also be expressed as statements, such as *what is the effect of language on social identity*, and statements that possess an assertion or claim regarding the research such as; *language and social identity: A case study of bilingual communities*.

**Coding Linguistic Features:** Once the classification is done, individual titles are analyzed in terms of linguistic structure. This includes coding for:

**Syntactic Structure:** Is the title a simple or compound sentence, and is nominalization, noun phrases, or other format used in academic writing?

**Modal Verbs:** In the titles, there are modal verbs (e.g., can, should, will, etc.) that signify lack of certainty, possibility, or necessity, especially in question headings.

# Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review

Print ISSN: 3006-5887

Online ISSN: 3006-5895

**Punctuation and Other Structural Features:** The text contains the use of punctuation marks, like question marks in question titles or colons in statements titles, which usually indicate a thematic division or a subheading.

**Rhetoric Function Coding:** The second step is to identify the rhetorical role of each title.

**Question Titles:** These are usually considered engagement mechanisms that present the research as an inquiry-led or exploratory research. These titles serve a rhetorical purpose of inviting only discussion and additional research. They are commonly prevalent in such disciplines as literature, where interpretation and debate are at the very core of the discipline (Jiang & Hyland, 2023).

**Statement Titles:** These tend to be more authoritative and forceful and make the research appear as a contribution of knowledge or solution to a problem. They are frequently applied in such disciplines as linguistics, where the goal of a research is to either confirm or refute a theory or hypothesis (Hyland & Zou, 2022).

**Coding Disciplinary Context:** Lastly, the titles are examined within the context of the disciplinary context. This includes the way the form of the title is consistent with the epistemological inclinations of the disciplines. For example, questions titles in linguistics can be theoretical (e.g., *What factors influence the acquisition of two languages bilingually?*), whereas question titles in literature may be more critical or reflective (e.g., *What does postmodernism tell us about modern identity?*).

## Ethical Considerations

Since the research is based on publicly available scholarly articles, the major ethical concerns are associated with the appropriate utilization of data. The articles are referenced properly and all the authors are given credit for their original work. The analysis has only been made based on the titles and no manipulation and distortion of the original content of the articles has been done. The research paper recognizes the bias of the researcher in the analysis of the titles, especially in coding the rhetorical role of titles. To address this, systematized coding scheme was employed to ensure consistency in analysis.

## Results

### Data Presentation

The findings of the comparison of questions and statement titles in linguistics and literature journal are introduced in this section. One hundred titles (50 linguistic and 50 literature) were reviewed, and each of them was categorized in terms of form and performance. The following tables present the frequency of the types of titles (question vs. statement) in the two disciplines, as well as the linguistic and rhetorical characteristics that can be seen in them.

Table 1: Distribution of Question vs. Statement Titles

Discipline	Total Titles	Question Titles	Statement Titles
Linguistics	50	20	30
Literature	50	25	25
Total	100	45	55

# Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review

Print ISSN: 3006-5887

Online ISSN: 3006-5895

As can be observed in Table 1, the percentage of statement titles in linguistics (60%) is relatively higher than the one in literature (50%). On the other hand, the percentage of titles of questions in literature is also more significant (50%), than in linguistics (40%).

**Table 2: Linguistic Features in Question vs. Statement Titles**

Feature	Question Titles	Statement Titles
Modal Verbs	60%	30%
Nominalization	40%	70%
Use of Punctuation (Colon, Question Mark)	100% (QMark)	70% (Colon)
Complexity	45% Compound Titles	25% Compound Titles

The linguistic characteristics occurring in question and statement titles are summarized in Table 2. The question titles are more likely to include modal verbs (e.g., Can, Does, What) to show doubt or possibility which is a major characteristic of titles that welcome inquiry. On the contrary, the nominalization is most commonly employed in the titles of the statements (e.g., The Role of Language in Social Identity) to produce a brief and authoritative statement.

In terms of punctuation, the word titles of questions are nearly always followed by a question mark, indicating that they are interrogative in character, whereas word titles of statements usually consist of a colon, after which a secondary descriptive clause is introduced, which is a general characteristic of linguistics and literature to explain more the main statement.

## Qualitative Insights

The discussion shows that there are several specific patterns of the way question titles and statement titles are utilized in either field:

**Question Titles in Linguistics:** In linguistics, question titles are usually indicators of hypothesis or theoretical inquiry. As an example, *what is the Role of Syntax in Bilingualism?* is a title that invites the readers to investigate a certain gap in research or question. These are usually the designations of an open-ended study concerning a linguistic phenomenon and do not provide conclusive research findings. The modal verbs such as What or How are used to show the uncertainty or exploration aspect of the research.

**Statement Titles in Linguistics:** In linguistics, statement titles tend to be more assertive, summarizing conclusions or theoretical discoveries. The discussion of language structure and its effect on thought is indicated in titles such as *The Impact of Language Structure on Thought*, which is certain and gives the presentation of the finalized knowledge. The titles of linguistic research have higher chances of presenting findings or methods clearly and easily.

**Question Titles in Literature:** Question titles are commonly used in literature to make an invitation and open up a critical thinking process. For example, *How Does Postmodernism Affect Our Understanding of Identity?* is a style that prompts the reader to think about various sides to a complicated literary or philosophical notion. The similarity in these titles shows the interpretive and debate-based character of literary scholarship, with questions frequently bringing about thematic questions and debates of interpretation.

# Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review

Print ISSN: 3006-5887

Online ISSN: 3006-5895

Statement Titles in Literature: Statement titles in literature are generally assertive, and they summarize some important interpretations or arguments. The names of the articles like *Postmodernism and Identity: A New Critical Approach* give prominence to the authoritative role of the research and indicate that the article provides a definitive interpretation of a theme. Such titles tend to position the study as a resolution of debates or adding new knowledge to an existing discussion.

## Disciplinary Comparisons

The difference in the disciplinary usage of the question and statement titles is evident: Linguistics: Titles in linguistics are more assertive and less wordy in their claim of facts, theories, or discoveries. This is indicated by the fact that there were more statement titles (60%) than question titles (40%). The study of linguistics is usually aimed at trying to test a hypothesis, or proving a theoretical statement, which is reflected in the application of nominalized structures that are assertive of knowledge with little ambiguity.

Literature: Question titles are more common in literature (50%), and these often present research which is thematic or interpretative in character. Literary scholars tend to develop complicated themes and cultural problems, and problematic titles can be used to problematize these issues and invite the reader to participate in an uncertain or subjective reading. The fact that the titles of questions and statements in literature are evenly dispersed indicates the equality between critical analysis and aggressive argumentation in the field.

## Discussion

Question vs. statement title linguistic and literature comparison shows that there are different trends in the way question and statement titles serve various rhetoric purposes in both disciplines. The first one, the prevalence of statement titles (60%), is corresponding to the empirical and theory-testing character of linguistics, where titles tend to provide conclusions, findings or methods with specificity and authority. This can be correlated with the empirical tradition in linguistics, which is usually hypothesis-based and seeks to disprove or confirm a certain linguistic theory or phenomenon. The nominalized nature of the title of these titles emphasize the concrete and factual nature of linguistic research, and this reinforces the assertive nature of the findings. Question titles in linguistics, on the other hand, are not as common (40%), and play an equivalent role. The titles are normally applied when doing a theoretical exploration or generating hypotheses. The examples include such titles as *What is the Role of Syntax in Bilingualism?* indicate a question-based method of cognition about language, in which the study is conducted to examine a gap or a question that has not been addressed by the existing literature. The modal verbs (e.g., What, How) indicate the doubt or openness of the research, which is the concern of scientific inquiry and evidence-based research of the field.

When comparing it to literature, it is shown that the question and statement titles are used with a more balanced approach (50% each). Titles of questions in literature tend to bring about interpretive disagreements where headings are such as: *How Does Postmodernism Affect Our Understanding of Identity?* encourage the readers to participate in multi-layered and subjective themes. As a humanities discipline, literature is more concerned with critical analysis and thematic exploration in which problems are problematized and contemplated through questions. On that note, in

# **Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review**

**Print ISSN: 3006-5887**

**Online ISSN: 3006-5895**

literary analysis, statement titles are more open, and several interpretations and points of view are promoted; conversely, statement titles in literature play a more authoritative role, in which the researcher presents a specific interpretation or theoretical position. Such names as *Postmodernism and Identity: A New Critical Approach* are indicative of a conclusion to the academic discourse. Nominalized structures adopted in the titles in this case emphasize the assertive and conclusive character of the research and make the study a sound addition to the discourse of the field.

This corresponds with the available literature on title structure and scholarly communication especially those studies that highlight the disciplinary difference in the title forms. Hyland & Zou (2022) and Jiang & Hyland (2023) have observed that title structures in different disciplines typically present the epistemological conventions of that discipline. In linguistics, hypothesis-driven research and theory-testing imply that assertive statement titles are more acceptable, whereas in literature, the interpretive character of the field promotes using question titles to provoke a debate and engagement. The results also support the pragmatic role of statement title, as Braticevic et al. (2020) found that declarative statements titles tend to lead to a higher citation rate in particular disciplines. But the study does not stop at this, as it demonstrates that the rhetoric role of titles in different fields of study differs considerably and cannot be determined purely by visibility or citation performance. Even though there may be no correlation between question titles and the increased number of citations in literature, they do play a significant role in presenting the research as an exploratory one, and thus help locate the study within the recent academic discourse.

## **Conclusion**

This paper has explored how question and statement titles function differently in linguistics and literature, highlighting clear disciplinary patterns. The findings show that linguistics relies more heavily on statement titles, which tend to present research in a direct and assertive way. These titles often signal clear findings, defined topics, or specific arguments, reflecting the structured and analytical nature of the field. In contrast, literature demonstrates a more balanced use of both question and statement titles, suggesting a broader range of rhetorical approaches within the discipline.

In linguistics, question titles are typically used to introduce research problems, theoretical issues, or areas that require further investigation. They frame the study as an inquiry and signal that the article will explore a particular gap or test a specific idea. In literature, however, question titles often serve a more dialogic purpose. Rather than simply presenting a problem to be solved, they invite readers into ongoing theoretical discussions and critical debates. This reflects the interpretive character of literary studies, where multiple perspectives and arguments are often encouraged.

Statement titles in both disciplines serve to present conclusions or central claims, but their function reflects each field's priorities. In linguistics, they emphasize results and reinforce the empirical, evidence-based orientation of the discipline. In literature, statement titles convey authority and position the research as a strong interpretive contribution to existing scholarship. Overall, the study demonstrates that title choices are closely connected to disciplinary identity and reveal how different fields

## **Future Research and Limitations**

# **Liberal Journal of Language & Literature Review**

**Print ISSN: 3006-5887**

**Online ISSN: 3006-5895**

This paper has a number of limitations. To start with, the study is based on the use of English-language titles in linguistic and literature journals, making it difficult to generalize results to other languages and cultural backgrounds. Intercultural and cross-linguistic comparisons might give more information on the difference in functionality of the title modality in various global academic circles. Also, the sample of the research comprises only two fields, and future research may extend the investigation to other disciplines of the humanities and social sciences to provide a more complete picture of the title practices.

Subjectivity of discourse analysis is also another limitation. An attempt was made to achieve uniformity in the coding and interpretation, the analysis was bound to be affected by the researcher. The future study can be moderated by intercoder reliability checks with other researchers to prevent bias.

Another possible topic to be investigated in future studies is how digital platforms influence title decisions, especially within a period of online publishing and search engine optimization (SEO) of academic content. The rising power of digital presence can potentially affect title form, so this is a significant field of research.

## **Recommendations.**

Following the conclusions, this research suggests a number of recommendations to consider by the researchers in choosing the academic titles:

The title of statements should be used by linguistics researchers as a way of stating clearly theoretical statements or empirical evidence.

The scholars of literature are advised to take into consideration the use of titles of questions to initiate the interpretive discussions and critical questions, and also the use of statement titles to establish authoritative interpretation.

Future investigators ought to take into account the effect of digital platforms and search engine optimization (SEO) on title selection, since the digital presence might grow to have a greater influence on the construction of academic titles.

## **References**

Adams, M., & Thompson, R. (2020). Rhetorical strategies in the construction of academic titles: A comparative study of disciplinary norms. *Linguistics and* ;